

Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

Common Quality Framework for International Search and Preliminary Examination

INITIAL REPORT ON QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

prepared by [China National Intellectual Property Administration](#) ~~State Intellectual Property Office, China~~

The Authority should provide general background information relevant to the quality management system (QMS) as set forth in this template.

The descriptions below each main heading of this template should be considered examples of the type and arrangement of information that should be included under each heading. Each Authority may provide additional information beyond that set forth in this template as desired.

INTRODUCTION (PARAGRAPHS 21.01 - 21.03)

In this introduction, each Authority should include a summary of all changes to their quality management system that have taken place since the previous report on their Quality Management System, and any other matters considered to be of interest in relation to quality management.

If applicable, the Authority may at this point indicate any recognized normative reference or basis for their quality management system besides Chapter 21, such as ISO 9001, under the heading "Normative Reference for QMS"

For example: "Normative reference for QMS: ISO 9001, EQS (European Quality System)"

Each Authority should then provide at least the information indicated in the descriptive boxes, under the following headings

The [China National Intellectual Property Administration](#) ~~State Intellectual Property Office~~ ([SIPO/CNIPA](#)) has been dedicating to improve the quality of our PCT products and services, including the International Search Reports (ISRs), the Written Opinions of the International Searching Authority and the International Preliminary Examination Reports (IPERs). With the

application of quality management measures, the great performance concerning time limit control and correctness of ISR and IPER has been achieved.

[The CNIPA implements the PCT Examination Quality Assurance Manual continuously and improves the PDCA circle management in PCT examination in 2018, which provides a more standard PCT quality management mechanism. The CNIPA also has compiled the Guideline of PCT Cooperative Search and Examination \(CS&E\) 3rd Pilot Project in accordance with the launch of the Project.](#)

~~The CNIPASIPO compiled the PCT Examination Quality Assurance Manual in 2017, which sorted and specified the scope and function, plan-making, implementation and continuous improvement of PCT examination quality assurance. The Manual literally defined examination quality assurance responsibilities of all levels and standardized examination quality assurance activities. The CNIPA SIPO also introduced the Manual to all the examination departments and examiners by lecturing and training in order to ensure their awareness and understanding of the Manual, which could promote the continuous improvement of PCT examination quality and provide better service for innovators.~~

1. LEADERSHIP AND POLICY

21.04 Confirm that the following are clearly documented, and that this documentation is available internally:

- (a) The quality policy established by top management.
- (b) The roles and names of those bodies and individuals responsible for the QMS, as delegated by top management.
- (c) An organizational chart showing all those bodies and individuals responsible for the QMS.


(a) The quality policy has not been established in ~~SIPO~~[the CNIPA](#).

(b) Quality Management System (QMS) has been established since 1990s in order to ensure the compliance of our products with the PCT Treaty and Regulations.

The Quality Control Division under the Patent Affairs Administration Department is responsible for implementing and maintaining the QMS, and defining the quality standards for all our products and services. Three Quality Evaluation Divisions under the Patent Affairs Administration Department, reporting directly to the deputy commissioner of ~~SIPO~~[the CNIPA](#), is in charge of evaluating the compliance of products with these quality standards.

The Quality Assurance Division in each examination department, built at the beginning of 2015, is responsible for monitoring and controlling process quality for continuous improvement together with other quality officer in the department, and is also responsible for guiding quality assurance and training of other departments in the same technical field. Automation Department and Documentation Department provide the indispensable sources for the search and examination work, such as equipment, facilities and databases etc. The Personnel & Education Department provides training for the PCT examiners. All these departments work together to ensure effectiveness of the QMS.

(c)



21.05 Indicate (e.g. by means of a table) the extent of compatibility between the Authority's QMS and the requirements of Chapter 21 of these International Search and Preliminary Examination Guidelines. Alternatively, indicate where the Authority is not yet compliant with these requirements.).

[Sample table, to be amended as necessary]

Chapter 21 requirement			Extent of compliance		
			full	part	no
21.04	(a)	Quality policy available			✓
	(b)	Identified roles and names for QMS responsibility	✓		
	(c)	Organizational chart available	✓		
21.05		Established compatibility of QMS with Chapter 21	✓		
21.06	(a)	Mechanisms to ensure effectiveness of the QMS	✓		
	(b)	Control of the continual improvement process	✓		
21.07	(a)	Communication of management about this standard to staff	✓		
	(b)	The PCT Guidelines are in line with the Authority's QMS	✓		
21.08	(a)	Management reviews take place			✓
	(b)	Quality objectives are reviewed			✓

Chapter 21 requirement			Extent of compliance		
			full	part	no
		(c) Communication of quality objectives throughout the Authority			✓
21.09		(a) Performance of a yearly internal review of the QMS in/to	✓		
		(b) determine the extent to which the QMS in based on Chapter 21			✓
		determine the extent to which S&E complies with PCT Guidelines	✓		
		(c) an objective and transparent way	✓		
		(d) using input incl. information according paragraph 21.24			✓
		(e) recording the results	✓		
21.10		Assurance to monitor and adapt to actual workload	✓		
	(i)	Infrastructure in place to ensure that a quantity of staff	✓		
		(a) sufficient to deal with the inflow of work	✓		
		(b) which maintains tech. qualifications to S&E in all technical fields	✓		
		(c) which maintains the language facilities to understand languages according to Rule 34	✓		
	(ii)	Infrastructure to provide a quantity of skilled administrative staff	✓		
		(a) at a level to support the technically qualified staff	✓		
		(b) for the documentation records			✓
	(iii)	Ensuring appropriate equipment to carry out S&E	✓		
	(iv)	Ensuring documentation accord. to Rule 34	✓		
	(v)	(a) Instructions to help staff understand and act accord. the quality criteria and standards	✓		
		(b) Instructions to follow work procedures accurately and they are kept up-to-date.	✓		
	(vi)	(a) Training and development program to ensure and maintain necessary skills in search and examination	✓		
		(b) Training and development program to ensure awareness of staff to comply with the quality criteria and standards.	✓		
	(vii)	(a) System in place for monitoring resources required to deal with demand		✓	
		(b) System in place for monitoring resources required to comply with the quality standards in S&E		✓	
21.11	(i)	Control mechanisms to ensure timely issue of S&E reports	✓		

Chapter 21 requirement			Extent of compliance		
			full	part	no
	(ii)	Control mech. regarding fluctuations in demand and backlog	✓		
21.12	(i)	Internal quality assurance system for self assessment	✓		
	(a)	for compliance with S&E Guidelines	✓		
	(b)	for channeling feedback to staff	✓		
	(ii)	System for measurement of data and reporting for continuous improvement	✓		
	(iii)	System for verifying the effectiveness of actions taken to correct deficient S&E work	✓		
21.14	(a)	Contact person helping identify best practice between Authorities	✓		
	(b)	Contact person fostering continual improvement	✓		
	(c)	Contact person providing for effective comm. with other Authorities for feedback and evaluation	✓		
21.15	(i)	(a) Appropriate system for handling complaints	✓		
		(b) Appropriate system for taking preventive/corrective actions	✓		
		(c) Appropriate system for offering feedback to users	✓		
	(ii)	(a) A procedure for monitoring user satisfaction & perception	✓		
		(b) A procedure for ensuring their legitimate needs and expectations are met	✓		
	(iii)	Clear and concise guidance on the S&E process for the user	✓		
	(iv)	Indication where and how the Authority makes its quality objectives publicly available	✓		
21.16		Established communication with WIPO and designated and elected Offices	✓		
21.17		QMS of Authority clearly described (e.g. Quality Manual)	✓	✗	
21.18	(a)	Documents making up the Quality Manual have been prepared and distributed	✓	✗	
	(b)	Media available to support the Quality Manual	✓		
	(c)	Document control measures are taken	✓		
21.19	(i)	Quality policy of the Authority and commitment to QMS		✓	
	(ii)	Scope of QMS	✓	✗	
	(iii)	Organizational structure and responsibilities	✓		
	(iv)	the documented processes are carried out in the Authority	✓		
	(v)	Resources available to carry out processes and implementing the procedures	✓		✗

Chapter 21 requirement			Extent of compliance		
			full	part	no
	(vi)	a description of the interaction between the processes and the procedures of the QMS.	✓		✗
21.20	(i)	Records which documents are kept and where they are kept			✓
	(ii)	Records of results of management review			✓
	(iii)	Records about training, skills and experience of staff	✓		
	(iv)	Evidence of conformity of processes	✓		
	(v)	Results of reviews of requirements relating to products	✓		
	(vi)	Records of the S&E process carried out on each application	✓		
	(vii)	Record of data allowing individual work to be tracked	✓		
	(viii)	Record of QMS audits		✓	
	(ix)	Records on actions taken re. non-conforming products	✓		
	(x)	Records on actions taken re. corrective actions	✓		
	(xi)	Records on actions taken re. preventive actions	✓		
	(xii)	Records referring to search process documentation	✓		
21.21	(i)	Recording of the databases consulted during search	✓		
	(ii)	Recording of keywords, combination of words and truncations during search	✓		
	(iii)	Recording of the languages used during search	✓		
	(iv)	Recording of classes and combinations thereof consulted during search	✓		
	(v)	Recording of a listing of all search statements used in databases consulted	✓		
	(vi)	Records about other information relevant to the search	✓		
	(vii)	Records about limitation of search and its justification	✓		
	(viii)	Records about lack of clarity of the claims	✓		
	(ix)	Records about lack of unity	✓		
21.22		Report on its own internal review processes	✓		
21.23-21.25		Additional information on further inputs to its internal reviews			✓
21.26		Initial report called for by paragraph 21.26	✓		

21.06 Indicate with reference to the organizational chart those bodies and mechanisms management uses to ensure:

- (a) the effectiveness of the QMS; and
- (b) that the process of continual improvement progresses.

(a) The Quality Control Division under the Patent Affairs Administration Department is responsible for implementing and maintaining the QMS, to ensure the effectiveness of the QMS.

(b) The Quality Control Division under the Patent Affairs Administration Department is responsible for defining the quality standards for all our products and services and establishing effective measures to ensure the process of continual improvement progresses. The Quality Assurance Division in each examination department, built at the beginning of 2015, is responsible for monitoring and controlling process quality for continuous improvement together with other quality officer in the department, and is also responsible for guiding quality assurance and training of other departments in the same technical field.

21.07 Indicate how management of the Authority communicates to its staff the importance of meeting treaty and regulatory requirements including:

- (a) those of this standard; and
- (b) complying with the Authority's QMS.

(a) Importance of meeting treaty and regulatory requirements is communicated to staff in ~~SIPO~~the CNIPA through training, seminars, and so on. For example, the Legal Affairs Department translates and distributes Compilation of the PCT Legal Instruments to examiners; Patent Affairs Administration Department has completed the revision of practical work manual; the Quality Evaluation Divisions report identified deficiencies to all the examiners; the Personnel & Education Department provides an advanced program tailored to the experienced examiners who are expected to be PCT examiners, helping them learn and understand the treaty and regulatory requirements. Besides, various PCT related seminars or lectures are frequently held to ensure the PCT examiners fully aware of examination and quality criteria.

(b) A website which contains all the information about QMS is established in ~~SIPO~~the CNIPA on intranet and available for all the examiners. Moreover, seminars introducing the implementation of the QMS are held in ~~the CNIPA~~SIPO to help examiners understand the importance of complying with the QMS.

21.08 Indicate how and when top management of the Authority or delegated officers:

- (a) conducts management reviews and ensures the availability of appropriate resources;
- (b) reviews quality objectives; and
- (c) ensures that the quality objectives are communicated and understood throughout the respective Authority.

(a) Management reviews have not been established in ~~the CNIPA~~SIPO.

(b) &(c) There is no PCT quality objective yet in ~~the CNIPA~~SIPO.

21.09 Indicate whether top management or delegated officers of the Authority perform an internal review of the QMS in accordance with paragraphs 21.22-21.25:

- (a) at least once per year (cf. paragraph 21.22);
- (b) in accordance with the minimum scope of such reviews as set out in Section 8, namely:
to determine the extent to which the QMS is based on Chapter 21 (cf. paragraphs 21.22, 21.24(i));
to determine the extent to which Search and Examination work complies with PCT Guidelines (cf. paragraphs 21.22, 21.24(i));
- (c) in an objective and transparent way (cf. paragraph 21.22);
- (d) using input including information according to paragraphs 21.24 (ii)-(vi);
- (e) recording the results (cf. paragraph 21.25).

- (a) Every six months, a quality control seminar is held for all the directors in the CNIPA ~~SIPO~~ to determine the extent to which Search and Examination work complies with PCT Guidelines.
- (b) Internal review in the CNIPA ~~SIPO~~ does not include determining the extent to which QMS is based on Chapter 21.
Internal review is carried out according to the data provided by the Quality Evaluation Divisions and Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department. The extent to which Search and Examination work complies with PCT Guidelines is assessed in three aspects, that is, correct conclusion, consistent practice and examination periods. The Deputy Commissioner in charge concludes and instructs the quality improvement plan for the next term on the seminar.
- (c) Main problems identified by the Quality Evaluation Divisions are sent to and discussed with corresponding examination departments to make sure the problems will be solved properly and timely.
- (d) Information according to paragraphs 21.24(ii)-(vi) has not been used in the internal review in the CNIPA ~~SIPO~~.
- (e) Results of internal review are recorded in a formal document.

2. RESOURCES

21.10 Explanatory note: The granting of ISA/IPEA status means that the Authority has demonstrated it has the infrastructure and resources to support the search and examination process. Chapter 21 calls for assurance that the Authority can continually support this process while accommodating changes in workload and meeting QMS requirements. The responses below, should provide this assurance.

Human resources:

- (i) Provide information about the infrastructure in place to ensure that a quantity of staff:
sufficient to deal with the inflow of work;
which maintains the technical qualifications to search and examine in the required technical fields;
and
which maintains the language facilities to understand at least those languages in which the minimum documentation referred to in Rule 34 is written or is translated
is maintained and adapted to changes in workload.
- (ii) Describe the infrastructure in place to ensure that a quantity of appropriately trained/skilled administrative staff is maintained and adapted to changes in workload:
at a level to support the technically qualified staff and facilitate the search and examination process, and
for the documentation of records.

(i)

The Quality Control Division calculates the quantity of PCT examiners needed at the beginning of every year, according to the estimated amount of PCT application, to ensure the PCT examiners in [the CNIPASIPO](#) is sufficient for the increasing workload.

All the candidates have to pass a technical qualification test hold by The Personnel & Education Department and Examination Departments to be substantive examiners, whose professional knowledge covers all technical fields. Only the skilled ones with at least 3 years experience in substantive examination are expected to be PCT examiners, who have to pass the PCT qualification test.

PCT examiners are proficient at English. Some are also good at another foreign language, such as French, German, etc. Moreover, Personnel & Education Department provides foreign language training for examiners. Translation Division under the Search Advice Center provides translations for plenty of languages, such as German, French, and Japanese etc, which is sufficient for languages in the minimum documentation referred to in Rule 34.

(ii)

Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department is in charge of handling S&E requests, sending reports to WIPO and other related processes, which supports the technically qualified staff and facilitates the S&E processes. Quantity of staff in Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department is calculated according to the anticipated requests, which ensures adequate staff dealing with the administrative process. Besides, the administrative staff in the Legal Affairs Department, and Patent Affairs Administration Department (especially the Quality Control Division) is also fully sufficient and competent to support PCT examiners well.

The administrative staffs don't maintain the documentation of records.

Material resources:

(iii) Describe the infrastructure in place to ensure that appropriate equipment and facilities such as IT hardware and software to support the search and examination process are provided and maintained;

(iv) Describe the infrastructure in place to ensure that at least the minimum documentation referred to in Rule 34 is available, accessible, properly arranged and maintained for search and examination purposes. State whether it is on paper, in microform or stored on electronic media, and where.

(v) Describe how instructions:

to help staff understand and adhere to the quality criteria and standards; and;

to follow work procedures accurately and consistently

are documented, provided to staff, kept up-to-date and adapted where necessary.

(iii) The Automation Department in [the CNIPASIPO](#) is responsible for maintaining and updating all in-house computer hardware, software, networks and database. Each staff in [the CNIPASIPO](#) has a desktop connected to the Intranet, and each desktop is installed with the software allowing for the access to the search databases and the electronic processing system for patent applications. Furthermore, each examiner is also equipped with a notebook PC to access the Internet to consult external databases and resources directly.

(iv) The Documentation Department in [the CNIPASIPO](#) is in charge of collecting and maintaining patent and non-patent documentation databases. [The CNIPASIPO](#) possesses or has the access to the comprehensive documentation referred to in Rule 34 in electronic form; [reference to the following chart. SIPO has possessed 89,392,036 full text patent documents](#)

~~since November 2017. Besides, more than 20 thousand periodicals in Chinese and foreign language, more than 3.5 million Chinese dissertations and 3.1 million Chinese books are available on intranet. Examiners can use internal search system(S System) to search patent documents and also can use S system and various commercial databases to access non-patent literature.~~ September 30, 2011, [the CNIPASIPO's](#) proposal on incorporating Chinese patent documentation in PCT minimum documentation was passed.

Patent/Non-patent Documentation	Database	Category	Characteristics
Patent	EPOQUE Introduced from EPO	Abstract	EPODOC, superior in accurate classification: ECLA,UCLA,FI/F-Term.
			WPI, maintained by Derwent, superior in keyword search
		Full text	TXTCH, TXTEP, TXTER, TXTGB, TXTWO
	GPRS Developed by SIPO	Abstract	CN Patent Documentation US Patent Documentation
		Full graphic	US,EP,JP,WO
	Non-patent	In Foreign Languages	Full text
Abstract			Inspec, Food Science and Technology Abstracts etc.
Chinese		Full text	CNKI (Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure) etc.

(v)

The quality standard for S&E work is published and distributed in [the CNIPASIPO](#). Each examiner can get it on intranet. A lot of seminars have been held to explain this standard, with materials dispensed. Collections of examples are also published to help examiners understand it better. Problems and deficiencies identified during checking are communicated with examiners, which also serves as explaining and training of quality standard. Besides, courses introducing the QMS have been added to the enrolling training to introduce the quality criteria and standards.

The Patent Affairs Administration Department issued a practical work manual in July 2007 and revised in March 2012, to further specify the search and examination standards. This work manual not only assort and integrates all the PCT legislations and guidelines, but also illustrates the PCT search and examination procedures via various examples under different situations, which contribute to instructions for examiners to follow work procedures accurately and consistently.

Training resources:


(vi) Describe the training and development infrastructure and program which ensures that all staff involved in the search and examination process:

acquire and maintain the necessary experience and skills; and

are fully aware of the importance of complying with the quality criteria and standards.

(vi) PCT training in [the CNIPASIPO](#) covers three phases, entry training for substantive examiners, job training, and improving training. The entry training introduces main procedure in PCT international application, PCT international application international phase examination, and PCT international application national phase examination. The job training focuses on looking back on the main procedure in PCT international application and PCT international application national phase examination.

The improving training designed for the PCT examiners consists of basic program for the newcomers, basic cases program, improving program, advanced seminar, translation of scientific and technical English. The following chart shows this improving training in detail.



In addition, many foreign language courses are running annually within [the CNIPASIPO](#), concerning English, Japanese, German, French, etc.

As for the training about the awareness of the importance of complying with the quality criteria and standards, see 21.07 (a).

Oversight over resources:

(vii) Describe the system in place for continuously monitoring and identifying the resources required:

to deal with demand; and

comply with the quality standards for search and examination.

(vii)

A report mechanism is running in [the CNIPASIPO](#). Any department, which finds problems with the resources mentioned above, can report to the corresponding departments which are in charge of the resource. After exchanging information among these departments, the problems will be settled.

3. MANAGEMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE WORKLOAD

21.11 Indicate how the following practices and procedures for handling search and examination requests and performing related functions such as data-entry and classification are implemented:

- (i) Effective control mechanisms regarding timely issue of search and examination reports to a quality standard as set by the respective Authority; and
- (ii) Appropriate control mechanisms regarding fluctuations in demand and backlog management.

- (i)
A new electronic flow management system CEPCT was launched on Mar31, 2014, compared with the former EPCT system, which greatly improves workflow efficiency. When an original international application arrives at the Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department, the formality examiners shall work on the formality examination, data-entry of the bibliography information and initial classification in the system. Then the processed record copies and search copies are handed over to the International Bureau and PCT examiners with corresponding technical fields via CEPCT electronically. The deadlines for all these actions are automatically calculated according to the initial entry data. Both CEPCT and his/her supervisors will remind the formality examiner some time before the deadline.
- (ii) See 21.10(i)

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE

21.12 The following are required quality assurance measures for timely issue of search and examination reports of a quality standard in accordance with the Guidelines. Indicate how the following are implemented, including the use of any checklists to verify reports before their issue or for monitoring the quality standard as part of a post-issue review process:

- (i) An internal quality assurance system for self-assessment, involving verification, validation and monitoring of searches and examination work:
for compliance with these Search and Examination Guidelines;
for channeling feedback to staff.
- (ii) A system of measurement and collection of data and reporting. Show how the Authority uses the system to ensure the continuous improvement of the established processes.
- (iii) A system for verifying the effectiveness of actions taken to correct deficient S&E work, eliminate the causes, and to prevent issues from recurring.

- (i)
An internal quality assurance system is running in ~~the CNIPASIPO~~ both in process and product. In process, deficiencies in the International Search Reports (ISRs), the Written Opinions of the International Searching Authority and the International Preliminary Examination Reports (IPERs) are identified and corresponding actions will be taken before ISRs and IPERs sent to WIPO, which assures the correctness.

Time limitation of search and examination reports is automatically monitored via CEPCT, which sends warning message to examiners sometime before the deadline. His or her supervisors will remind the examiner to finish the reports in time.

Rechecking is performed both individually and collectively. All the ISRs, written opinions and IPERs are now conducted by a two-person team consisting of a primary examiner and a reviewing member. After the main search and examination is completed by the primary examiner, the reviewing member, serving a second pair of eyes, shall review the case comprehensively. A reviewing opinion then shall be made and kept in file, and fed back to the

primary examiner. The primary examiner shall amend or supplement his/her action if necessary, or otherwise give an explanation to the reviewing opinion before it is sent to the Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department where all these ISRs, written opinions and IPERs are collected and formally checked again in an all-round manner before they are transmitted to the IB and applicants as well. Furthermore, all the defects discovered are recorded and reported to the director of the Examination Department per month. Encouragement and punishment measures may be taken accordingly within the department.

Substantive inspection during the procedure is carried out at the division and department tiers. Namely, directors in the Examination Divisions and Departments randomly check some cases per month and carefully observe substantial issues, such as search strategy, evaluation of novelty, inventive step, etc. The primary examiner shall amend or supplement his/her action if necessary before sending it to the Preliminary Examination & Flow Management Department. In the product evaluation phase, evaluation is carried out by the department and office. Quality officer in each examination department chooses cases randomly to check the extent to which these cases comply with the Search and Examination Guidelines, and trainings are held on problems identified by the quality officer, so as to ensure the PCT examiners fully understanding the requirements of the Search and Examination Guidelines. The Quality Evaluation Divisions are composed of experienced examiners selected from each Examination Department. The Quality Evaluation Divisions check random samples every month to see the extent to which these samples comply with the Search and Examination Guidelines. Main problems identified by the Quality Evaluation Divisions are sent to and discussed with corresponding examination departments to make sure the problems will be solved properly and timely.

(ii)(iii)

Quality officer in each examination department records the identified problems, and problems with high frequency are collected and analyzed to find out the underlying causes thereof, which will be used as the basis for the following training.

Every six months, the quality assurance results are published to Deputy Commissioner in charge and all the directors. A quality control seminar with Deputy Commissioner in charge and all the directors joining follows to conclude the assessing results and plan for the next term.

5. COMMUNICATION

Inter-Authority communication:

21.13 *Explanatory note: Each Authority should provide for effective communication with other Authorities.*

(Note: This point is informative. No response is required by the template to paragraph 21.13)

21.14 *Provide the name, job title and contact details of the Authorities designated quality contact person who will take responsibility for:*

- (a) helping identify and disseminate best practice among Authorities;*
- (b) fostering continual improvement; and*
- (c) providing for effective communication with other Authorities to allow for prompt feedback from them so that potential systemic issues can be evaluated and addressed.*

(a-c) The quality contact persons designated in [the CNIPASIPO](#) are Li Huiling and Bian Yuhan who are the Staff Members in Chief of Quality Control Division and International Project Division, who can be contacted by e-mail, lihuiling@sipo.gov.cn, bianyuhan@sipo.gov.cn.

Communication and guidance to users:

21.15 Describe the system in place for monitoring and using customer feedback including at least the following elements:

- (i) An appropriate system for handling complaints and making corrections; taking corrective and/or preventative action where appropriate; and offering feedback to users.
- (ii) A procedure for: monitoring user satisfaction and perception; and for ensuring their legitimate needs and expectations are met.
- (iii) Clear, concise and comprehensive guidance and information to users (particularly unrepresented applicants) on the search and examination process, giving details of where it is to be found e.g. link to Authority's web site, guidance literature.
- (iv) An indication of where and how the Authority makes its quality objectives publicly available for the users.

(i) ~~The CNIPASIPO~~ has established Examination Quality Complaints Platform. Logging in the Examination Quality Complaints Platform, anyone who may be applicants/attorneys, the public, the IB, DOs, EOs or WIPO, can complain about examination quality among the whole examination process. ~~The CNIPASIPO~~ will deal with the complaints in the specified time limit and offer the solutions to the user.

(ii) In order to learn opinions from the users generally, ~~the CNIPASIPO~~ has commissioned professional survey institute to make a user satisfaction survey on examination quality annually since 2008. The survey result suggests that customers have a good opinion of the PCT search and preliminary examination report and service. Meanwhile, we also learn the weakness and disadvantages, as well as improving suggestions for our PCT products from our users and customers.

(iii) Guidance to the users on the search and examination process is accessed on ~~the CNIPASIPO's~~ website (www.sipo.gov.cn/sipo/pct/pctonline.sipo.gov.cn), which includes basic PCT related knowledge, PCT forms and news, PCT applying program and FAQ. Training seminars, especially WIPO national roving seminars on PCT cooperated with the WIPO are frequently run all over the country.

(iv) The instruction of quality objectives is not available for users now.

21.16 *Communication with WIPO and designated and elected Offices:*

Describe how the Authority provides for effective communication with the International Bureau and designated and elected offices. In particular describe how the Authority ensures that feedback is promptly evaluated and addressed.

Several departments, including International Cooperation Department and Patent Affairs Administration Department are designated to communicate with WIPO, including attending WIPO meetings, giving feedback to WIPO on time.

6. DOCUMENTATION

21.17 Explanatory note: The QMS of the Authority needs to be clearly described and implemented so that all processes in the Authority and the resulting products and services can be monitored, controlled, and checked for conformity. This is done in the documents that make up the Quality Manual of the Authority (see paragraph 21.18).

(Note: This point is informative. No response is required by the template to paragraph 21.17)

21.18 The documents that make up the Quality Manual serve to document the procedures and processes affecting the quality of work, such as classification, search, examination and related administrative work. In particular, the Quality Manual indicates where to find instructions on the procedures to be followed.

For the purposes of this report indicate:

- (a) the documents making up a Quality Manual that have been prepared and distributed;
- (b) the media on which it is supported (e.g. Internal Publication, Internet, Intranet); and
- (c) document control measures taken e.g. version numbering, access to latest version.

(a) ~~Parts of~~ Quality Assurance Manual have been prepared and distributed. ~~The others are under development.~~

(b) The available documents are published on intranet, internet or paper.

(c) The available documents are numbered in different versions.

21.19 Indicate whether the documents making up the Quality Manual include the following:

- (i) the quality policy of the Authority including a clear statement of commitment to the QMS from top management;
- (ii) the scope of the QMS, including details of and justification for any exclusions;
- (iii) the organizational structure of the Authority and the responsibilities of each of its departments;
- (iv) the documented processes carried out in the Authority such as receipt of incoming applications, classification, distribution, search, examination, publication and support processes, and procedures established for the QMS, or references to them;
- (v) the resources available for carrying out the processes and implementing the procedures; and
- (vi) a description of the interaction between the processes and the procedures of the QMS.

(i)(ii)

The quality policy has not been established in [the CNIPA SIPO](#).

(ii-vi)

[The Quality Assurance Manual of the CNIPA includes the items shown in \(ii-vi\) above.](#) ~~The scope of the QMS hasn't been documented.~~

(iii)

~~Organizational structure and responsibilities of each department are documented both on paper and electronically.~~

(iv)

~~The Patent Affairs Administration Department issued a revision of practical work manual in March 2012 to further specify the search and examination standards. This work manual not only assorts and integrates all the PCT legislations and guidelines, but also illustrates the PCT search and examination procedures via various examples under different situations, such as receipt of incoming applications, classification, distribution, search, examination, publication processes etc.~~

(v)(vi)

~~Documents of resources and interaction between processes and procedures are under development.~~

21.20 Indicate which types of records the Authority maintains, such as:

- (i) a definition of which documents are kept and where they are kept;
- (ii) results of management review;
- (iii) training, skills and experience of personnel;
- (iv) evidence of conformity of processes, resulting products and services in terms of quality standards;
- (v) results of reviews of requirements relating to products;
- (vi) the search and examination processes carried out on each application;
- (vii) data allowing individual work to be tracked and traced;
- (viii) records of QMS audits;
- (ix) actions taken re. non-conforming products, e.g. examples of corrections;
- (x) actions taken re. corrective action;
- (xi) actions taken re. preventative action; and
- (xii) search process documentation as set out in Section 7.

(i)(ii)

These records haven't been established in ~~SIPO~~[the CNIPA](#).

(iii)

Records of training, skills and experience of personnel are kept and maintained by Personnel & Education Department.

(iv)

Evidence of conformity of processes, resulting products and services in terms of quality standards are recorded in the quality assessment reports every six months.

(v)

Records of results of reviews of requirements relating to products are maintained.

(vi,vii,ix-xii)

These are recorded by the CEPCT system and maintained in [the CNIPA](#)~~SIPO~~.

(viii)

Records of QMS audits haven't been established.

7. SEARCH PROCESS DOCUMENTATION

21.21 For internal purposes the Authority should document its search process.

The Authority should indicate

- (a) which of the following are included in this record:
 - (i) the databases consulted (patent and non patent literature);
 - (ii) the keywords, combinations of words and truncations used;
 - (iii) the language(s) in which the search was carried out;
 - (iv) the classes and class combinations searched, at least according to the IPC or equivalent;
 - (v) a listing of all search statements used in the databases consulted.
- (b) which other information relevant to the search itself is included in this record e.g. a statement of the subject of search; details of special relevance to internet searching; a record of documents viewed; on-line thesaurus, synonym or concept databases, etc.
(Explanatory note: The IA is requested to list other information it may collect to monitor and improve the search process)
- (c) which special cases are documented and whether records are kept denoting any:
 - (vi) limitation of search and its justification
 - (vii) lack of clarity of the claims; and
 - (viii) lack of unity.

(a)

(i-v)

Search process record includes the databases consulted (patent and non patent literature), keywords, combinations of words and truncations used, the language(s) in which the search was carried out, the classes and class combinations searched, at least according to the IPC or equivalent, and a listing of all search statements used in the databases consulted.

(b)

Other relevant information is included in this record, such as details of special relevance to internet searching, synonym databases etc.

(c) Special cases are documented and records are kept denoting limitation of search and its justification, lack of clarity of the claims, and lack of unity.

8. INTERNAL REVIEW

21.22 Explanatory note: The Authority should report on its own internal review arrangements. These reviews determine the extent to which it has established a QMS based on the model of Chapter 21 and the extent to which it is complying with the QMS requirements and the Search and Examination Guidelines. The reviews should be objective and transparent to demonstrate whether or not those requirements and guidelines are being applied consistently and effectively and should be undertaken at least once a year. With reference to point 21.08 of this template, the Authority may provide additional information on its internal review arrangements under this section if it so wishes.

21.23-21.25 These arrangements are reported according to this template in Section 1, above, at points 21.04 - 21.09. The Authority may provide additional information on further inputs to its internal reviews under this section, if it so wishes.

21.22

Internal review in ~~the CNIPA~~ SIPO has been implemented semiannually since 2008, which is convened by Deputy Commissioner in charge, with all the Directors from Examination

Departments joining. Data evaluating the conformity of S&E work with PCT Guidelines these six months is published and deficiencies identified by the Quality Evaluation Divisions are discussed at the seminar.

Deputy Commissioner in charge concludes and instructs the quality improvement plan for the next term. Due to great efforts in these years, the quality management system is gradually improving and deficiencies identified are more and more critical to the PCT examination, which perfects the internal review in [the CNIPA](#)~~SIPO~~.

9. ARRANGEMENTS FOR AUTHORITIES TO REPORT TO THE MIA

21.26 There are two stages in the reporting arrangements outlined in Chapter 21: the initial report called for by paragraph 21.26(a), and supplementary annual reports in accordance with paragraph 21.26(b). At the second informal meeting of the Quality Subgroup in Canberra on February 6 and 7, 2012, the Subgroup recommended that, instead of submitting full reports every five years and cumulative updates in the intervening years, Authorities should submit each report in the form of a full report, making the differences from the previous year's report clear, for example using "track changes" or other form of highlighting. The template for the supplementary annual reports is therefore no longer used.

[End of document]