WIPO/IP/TIP/03/8 ORIGINAL:English DATE:April2003





#### WIPONATIONALSEMINA RONINTELLECTUALPR OPERTY

organizedby
theWorldIntellectualPropertyOrganiza tion(WIPO)
incooperationwith
theLibyanNationalBoardforScientificResearch

Tripoli, April 29 and 30, 2003

THEROLEOFCOPYRIGHTANDRELATEDRIGHTSINPROMOTINGLITERARY, MUSICALANDARTISTICCREATIVITY

PreparedbyDr.MihályFicsor,Director, CenterforInformationTechnologyandIntellectualProperty(CITIP),Budapest

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. Onthefirstdayoftheseminar,apresentationwasmadeon theinternationalsystemof theprotectionofcopyrightandrelatedrights.Inthispaper,firstitissummarisedwhatkind ofrolecopyrightandrelatedrightsmayhaveinthepromotionofliterary,musicalandartistic creativityandeconomicdevelopmen t,andthenitisreviewedhowallthismaybemanifested intheactivitiesofsmall -andmedium -sizedenterpriseswhichareparticularlyimportant,from thisviewpoint,indevelopingcountries.

#### **II.COPYRIGHTANDCREATIVITY**

2. Theroletheprotection of copyrightandrelatedrightsisaboveallthepromotion literary, musical and artistic creativity, the enrichment of national cultural heritage and the dissemination of cultural and information products to the general public. Such protection offers their dispensable incentives for the creation of new valuable works and for the investment into production and distribution of cultural and information goods. This is done through granting appropriate economic and moral rightsto authors, performer, producers a publishers, through establishing a dequate framework for the exercise of the serights, and through providing efficient mechanisms, procedures, remedies and sanctions that are necessary for their enforcement in practice.

nd

- 3. Theinternationalnorms and nationallaws on copyright and related rights, while recognizing that the promotion of creativity and cultural and information production is an important public interest, also take into account other public interests, such as those which related to the avai lability to the public of all the information necessary for a the participation in social and political activities; publiced ucation; scientificand scholarly research; etc. For these purposes, these norms and laws contain appropriate exception sto and imitations on the rights of copyright and related rights owners.
- 4. Anefficientandwell -balancedsystemfortheprotectionofcopyrightandrelatedrights isnecessaryforthepreservationofnationalcultureandidentity. Experienceshowsth atfor this, it is not sufficient to grant protection to national creators, producers and publishers. Without adequate protectionals of or them, for eignworks and cultural products may in undate the markets of the given country and create a kind of unfair competition for any domestic creations and publications. This may happen very easily so since publishers may prefer well known works proved to be successful at the international level -- for the publication of which they do not need any authorization and do not have to pay any remuneration to the authors and original publishers -- rather than investing in local creations in the case of which they have certain obligations and, at the same time, may not be sure how they will be received by the public.
- 5. Inadditiontoallthis, an appropriate copyright system is also in dispensable for the participation of international cultural and economic cooperation. Without this, acountry may not be able to attract for eigninvest mentinanum bero fimportant fields, and may not get access to certain cultural and information products and services in such an obstacle free manner as it would be desirable for the acceleration of the social and economic development.

6. Indevelopingcountries, small -andmedium -sizedenterprises (SMEs) have a particularly important role in the field of cultural and information production. Therefore, in this paper, it is analyzed in detail what kinds of chances SMEs have and what kinds of difficulties they may have to be faced wit hinthe field of the application of copyright and related rights.

#### III. SMALLANDMEDIUM -SIZEDENTERPRISESANDCOPYRIGHT: OBJECTIVES.CHALLENGES,DANGERSANDOPPORTUNITIES

Objectives: Successstories throughwell -balanced copyright and related right sprotection; some positive examples

- 7. Therearemanyexamplestoprovethatanappropriate, well -balancedcopyright regulationmaycontributebothtothesurvivalandtothesuccess -sometimesspectacular success –ofsmallerandmedium -sizeden terprises.Hereonlythreewillbeused;theyare, however, quitetypical. One is an oldstory, the other two are more recent; one is from a countrywhichisnowaleadingindustrializedcountry -infact, the biggest economy of the world –buttheexam pleisfromanearlyperiodofitshistorywhen,onthebasisofthepresent criteria, itstill could have been regarded a kind of developing country: the *UnitedStatesof* America; one is from a developing country, and quite a huge one, which just as a con sequence of the success story involved, is emerging a sone of the most important players in the field concerned: India; and one is from a country which, at the time of the story was still a reluctant -calledsocialistcountries (although,astheWesternpressputit, memberofthegroupoftheso themerriestbarrackinthecamp), which then happily became a "transition country", and whichnow, within a year, is to be come a member of the European Union: Hungary.
- Letustakethentheexamplefromthe UnitedStates . Thestoryisfromtheperiodwhen ithadjustobtaineditsindependenceandwasinthestageofestablishingitsowneconomic, socialandlegalsystem. Asfarascopyrightwasconcerned thefirstidea -which, atthefirst sight, perhapssee medtobeattractive and clever - wastopromotelocalcultureandcreativity throughgrantingcopyrightprotectionfortheworksofdomesticauthors, leaving, however, foreignworks -firstofallworkspublishedinEngland - unprotected. Theresultspro vedto be *catastrophicfromtheviewpoint* of what the isolation is tapproach to copyright was .Thosepublishers believedtoserve; from the viewpoint of national culture and creativity according to our present comparative scale, certainly small or, at le ast.medium -sizedones thathadchosentoinvestinthepublicationofsomestilllesswell -knownAmericanauthors wereunabletocompetewiththeotherswhichachievedeasyandsafesuccessbypublishing unprotectedworksoffamousandpopularEnglishw ritersandpoetswithoutanyneed whatsoeverforbothering with obtaining authorization and paying remuneration to them. Thethen "SME" publishers supporting local creativity either went bankruptor changed publishingpolicy inabandoningtheir patriotic extravaganza.
- 9. Thisnegativeeffectoftheintrovertedcopyrightpolicywasrecognizedquiteearly. Copyrightlegislationwaschanged ,updatedand— throughappropriateagreements —extended toEnglishworks. Theresultofthisstepiswellknown: thedying "SME" publishers specializedinpublishingworksofdomesticauthorsreceivedahugedozeofnew opportunitiesforcompetinginthemarketandsucceed . Thiswisedecisiontochange copyrightpolicymightevenberegardedasthebeginningleading totheenormoussuccess

story of the U.S. cultural industries (about which, of course, it would be difficult to say that they are now dominated by SMEs).

- Thestoriesabout *India* and *Hungar* yaremuchnewer. Attheendofthe70sandthe beginning of the 80s, the rewere still a lot of heated debates at the international levelonwhat kindofintellectualpropertyprotectionmightbeadequateforcomputerprograms ,the growingimportanceofwhichatthattimewasbecomingevident. Duringthosedebates patentprotection -whichnow, incertain countries, has started aspectacular, althoughin -was,ingeneralsetasideandrejectedasamajor someaspectscontroversial,newcarrier option. The possibility of a suigeneris systemwasconsideredmoreorlessseriously(of whichstilltherearesomeverymucharticulate arrière-guardadvocates), butcopyright was emergingasthemostready -madeandmosteasilyapplicableoption. *Thebreakthrough* towards copyright as a generally accepted option to okplaceeinFebruary1985, atameeting organized in Geneva at the WIPO head quarters. It was due to the excellent working paper, tothethorough discussion at the meeting, but also to the existing positive examples to which the workingpaperhadbeenabletoref er. Atthattime, in addition to some positive developments inthecaselawofsomecountries, the rewere already five countries where statutory lawexplicitlyrecognized the copyright protection of computer programs.
- 11. Itmaynotbeasurprisethat the United States of America was among the first five. In the case of that country, the contribution of copyright protection might not be so easily and evidently identified as the single key factor for the enormous success of the software industry, although its important role could hardly be neglected. However, *India and Hungary were also among those first five countries*, and, in the case of the secount ries it is easier to identify what kind of impact copyright protection had made.
- 12. Itseemsneedle sstodescribe *thegreatsuccessoftheIndiansoftwareindustry* which hasevenstarteditsdynamicextensionalsototheEuropeanandU.S.markets(andnotonly through"exporting"itsexcellentexperts). Thereisgeneralagreementthat, *inthesuccess storyofthenumeroussoftwareSMEs* ofthathugecountry –someofwhich, of course, in the meantime, havegrownoutthis category –in addition to certain other factors (such as a well thought governmental developments trategy and an advantageous educationals tructure), *the early introduction of awell -balanced copyright protection for computer programs played a decisive role*.
- 13. Thesamewasthecase inHungary where copyrightprotectionwasrecognizedinthe statutorylaw(thefirsttimeinEurope)in 1983. Thisalonewouldnothavebeensufficientin aso -calledsocialistcountrytobecomethebasisforanSMEssuccessstory. Bythattime, however, certaineconomicandpoliticalchangesallowedtheestablishmentofsmallprivate enterprises(orsomet imesevenmedium -sizedones). The carrier of the small softwarehouses established in that period became truly agreat success story pringing Hungary in to the front line of software development in Central and Eastern Europeand contributing along with many other factors —to as mooth transformation of the (everless) centrally planned economy into a full -fledged marketeconomy.

- 14. Thereisnoneedt oelaborateonsomeverywellknownexampleswherethe breathtakingsuccessofcertainsoftwareenterprises —whichatthebeginningwereborneven notjustassmallormedium -sizedonesbutas micro-enterprises —hasled. Theyhave obtainedquitean extensivemarketdominancewiththepossibilityof theirproprietaryproducts obtainingthestatusof defacto world-widestandards relegating by this their potential competitors (among themalls of tware SMEs) into the depending status of simple clients .
- 15. Thisevolvingscenariowas recognized and dulytakenintoaccount in the European Community in the framework of the preparation and adoption of the directive on the legal protection of computer programs. The directive (Council Directive No. 91/250/EEC of 14 May 1991) contains certain provisions to protect users of computer programs against the dangers of overprotection in favor of software developers: such as the one squaranteeing for the law fullowners of copies of computer programs to be able to use purpose, including error protection (Article 5(1)), to make back upcopies (Article 5(2)) and to observe, study or test the functioning of the program in order to determine the ideas and principles under lining any element of the program (Article 5(3)).
- 16. The latter provision has already quite a substantial relevance also for the possible competitors among them many SMEs –-in the software markets. However , what is particularly important for them especially for the more vulnerab le SME soft he field is the regulation of the issue of "reverse engineering" or "decompilation" of programs in Article 6 of the directive.
- 17. Thisregulationbecamenecessaryinorder toeliminatethepossibility of some anti-competitive practices of owners of certain widely used computer programs based on the exclusive right of reproduction and/or the exclusive right of adaptation (and translation) granted to them by Article 4 of the directive. In the absence of an appropriate regulation, owners of rights in such programs would have been able to prohibit the transformation of the programs (only made available by the minobject code form) into source code form (this transformation is called "decompilation" or "reverse engineering" of the program). And without such decompilation, the potential competitors would not have been able to develop and make any computer programs that would have been able to function to gether "interoperate" with the existing and widely used, quasist and ard programs. Such a consequence would have been, of course, particularly disastrous for SMEs of the software developments ector.
- 18. Theregulationwasnoteasy. Therewasquiteanimportantresistanceagainstany specificrulesauthorizingdecompilation, since somemajorsoftwarehouseswereafraidthat thenewnormsmaybeusedalsoforsimplepiraticalactivities. Itseems, however, that the provisions in Article 6 of the directive have established an appropriate balance between conflicting legitimate interest standeliminated the possible dangers as much as possible.
- 19. ThesaidArticleofthedirectiveprovidesthatthe authorizationoftherightholderisnot requiredwherereproductionofthecodeand "translation" of its formare in dispensable to obtain the information necessary to achieve the interoperability of an independently created computer program with other programs, provided that certain conditions are met. These conditions serve as *guarantees* that the limited freedom granted in this field one snot prejudice the legitimate interests of owners of rights. (The conditions are as follows: (a) these acts are performed by the licensee or by another person having a right to use a copy of a

program, or on their behalf by a person authorized to doso; (b) the information necessary to achieve interoperability has not previously been readily available; (c) these acts are confined to the parts of the original program which are necessary to achieve interoperability; (d) the information obtained must not be used for goals other than to achieve the interoperability of the independently created computer program; (e) it must not be given to others except when necessary for the interoperability of the independently created computer program; and (f) must not be used for the development, production or marketing of a computer program substantially similar in its expression, or for any other act which in fringes copy right.)

20. Thiswell -balancedandpreciseregulation *hasmadeitpossible* –notonlyinthe EuropeanCommunitybutalsoinothercountrieswherethismodelhasbeentakenoverand applied – *forsoftware -developerSMEstocontinueandextendtheircreativeactivitieswitha chancetosucceed* ,andmanyofthemhaveusedthisopportunitywithgreateffi ciency.

Dangersofpiracy: SMEsascandidatestobecomethefirstvictims

- 21. Piracymayhave anumberofpossiblenegativeandevendisastrousconsequences :such ascompletelyneglectingcopyrightandrelatedrightswhich,ifnotdulycountered,m aynot onlydenyrightstocreatorsandproducers,butnow,withtheteeththeserightshaveobtained throughtheTRIPSAgreement,eventuallymayalsoleadtoserioustradesanctionsagainstthe countryconcerned,orsuchasthedistributionoflow -levelq ualityproductswithoutany follow-upservicewhatsoever,taxevasionandcontributiontofinancingotherformsof organizedcrimeorsubversiveactivities.
- 22. Afurther –and,fromtheviewpointofnationalculture,themostdetrimental consequence isthat thecommercialactivitiesofpiratesunderminethechanceonthemarket forthosewhopublishanddistributeworksinalegitimateway,andtaketherisktoinvestinto thepromotionofnew,stilllessknowntalents,mainlynationalauthors . They havenoreal chancetosucceedsincethemarketisinundatedwithcheappiratedpublications(cheaperfor atleastthreereasons:first,becausepiratesdonottakeanyrisk;theysimplypublishthose worksandrecordingswhichhaveturnedouttobegreat success;second,becausetheydonot haveadministrativecostsemerginginconnectionwithobtainingauthorizationfromtheright owners;and,third,ofcourse,becausetheydonotpayremuneration)withwhichtheyare unabletocompete. Ingeneral,SMEs operatingincopyright- relatedfieldsareamongthefirst enterprisestoloseandgobankruptasaresultofwide -spreadpiracy.
- 23. Thegreatproblemfromtheviewpointofnationalcultureisthatpiratestendtopublish and distributeforeignworks having proved to be successful and gotten famous on the ever more globalized world market. National creativity and local authors are promoted by lawful publishers—many of the minthe SME scategory—and it is just that category which is on the losing side. This may very much result in poorer and stagnant national culture and the fading away of the diversity of national identities.
- 24. Therefore, it should be seen clearly that an appropriate enforcement mechanism and an efficient system to fight pira cyarenecessary not only in order to respect the important values represented by copyright and related rights protection, and not only because the TRIPS Agreement prescribes this, but at least as much, and perhapseven more, in order to avoid these dangers to not in order to avoid these dangers of the second state of the seco

*amongthosewhogainthemost* fromtheexistenceandapplicationofsuchamechanismand suchasystem.

Opportunities offered by collective management of rights: special bene fits for SMEs

- 25. Theexclusiverightoftheauthortoexploithisworkorauthorizeotherstodosoisthe basicelementofcopyright, and sucharight, where recognized, is also important for the beneficiaries of related rights. An exclusive right can be enjoyed to the full est extentifit may be exercised individually by the owner of the right himself. In sucha case, the owner maintainshis control over the dissemination of his work, can personally take decisions on the economic conditions of its exploitation and can also closely monitor whether his rights are duly respected. As early as at the time of the establishment of the international copyright system, the rewere, however, certain rights that their owners were unable to exercise individually, and later, with the evernewer waves of new technologies, the field in which individual exercise of rights was impossible or, at least, impractical, became constantly wider.
- 26. Thereasonforwhich,inanumberofcases,copyrightandrelatedrights cannotbe exercised individually is that the works and/or the objects of related rights are used by agreat number of different users. An individual author or other rights holder, in general, does not have the capacity to monitor all the uses, to negotia tewith users and to collect remuneration. In such a case, collective management of rights is the appropriate solution. It is obviously a great advantage also for users since it decreases their administrative costs and facilitates lawfuluse.
- 27. Inviewoftheincreasingimportanceofcollectivemanagement, WIPOdevotedgrowing attentiontoit(inanearlierperiod,inEnglish,theexpression"collectiveadministration"was used). InMay1986, aWIPOInternationalForumtookplaceonthistopic; between 1986 and 1989, model provisions on the establishment and operation of collective management organizations were discussed; and, in 1990, the preparatory work culminated in the publication of a comprehensive WIPOstudy (the author of which is the author of this paper) on "Collective Administration of Copyright and Related Rights" (WIPO publication No. 688 (E)) which described the main fields of collective management, analysed the most important is sue soft his form of exercising rights, and, at the establishment and operation of collective management organizations.
- Theprinciples and practical details worked out in the above -mentionedperiodhave beenappliedinWIPO's activities for advising governm ents, in particular governments of developingand"transition"countries, and for "institution building" in such countries. In the meantime, however, with the ever more wides pread application of digital technology, and withsuchnewdevelopmentsasthead ventof"multimedia"productionsandthespectacular increaseintheuseofcopyright -relatedmaterialontheInternet ,theconditionsofprotection andenforcementofrightshavechanged .Newchallengesemergedfortheexerciseand managementofrights, and, atthesametime, using the same technology, also somenew solutions(encryption,digital"fingerprints,""watermarks"andidentificationnumbers)were workedoutinresponsetothosechallenges. As are sult, an ew situation arose in the field of exercising and managing rights which seemed to concernant umber of aspects.

- 29. Inresponsetothesedevelopments, WIPOconvened, in May 1997 in Seville, an International Forumon the Exercise and Management of Copyright and Neighboring Rights in the Face of the Challenges of Digital Technology to review what changes may be needed in the principles and practical aspects of the establishment and practical operation of collective management systems (the material of the Forum is available in WIPO publication No.756(E)).
- 30. The Seville Forum agreed that the 1990 principles should not be changed, and that they could be applied appropriately also in the digital environment. At the same time, the Forum identifiedthechallengesraisedbythisenvironmentt othecollectivemanagementsystems, and outlined those directions in which a dequate responses may be found. WIPO was requestedtoestablishamorepermanentforumwheretherepresentativesofallinterested parties –sometimes with, at least partly, conf lictinginterests –maycometogether,may exchangeinformation, and, where appropriate, may agree on joint action. WIPO, in response, setup itsAdvisoryCommitteeonManagementofCopyrightandRelatedRightsin InformationNetworks, which hadit sfirst, very successfuls ession in December 1998 and hold itssecondsessioninDecember1999.The2002 -2003programofWIPOforeseesextensive activities for dealing with the questions of collective management. It is just now that a new updatedversio noftheabove -mentioned1990studyisinthestageofpublicationinseveral officiallanguagesofWIPO.
- 31. Itwouldbeimpossibletodescribeinthispaperallthedetailsofthediscussionsat, and theresultsof, the Seville Forumand the variou sWIPO forums since then. What seems, however, in dispensable to underlinear ethe following aspects.
- 32. First, theroleofcollectivemanagementwillnotnecessarilydecrease, just the opposite: it will probably increase, in the digital world. There are some new fields already identified where collective management may, and certainly will, have an important role, such as the licensing of "multimedia productions" (which quite frequently are created of a great number of works and contributions of different categories) and the authorisation of use of at least certain categories of protected material on the Internet.
- Second, owners of rights have greater freedom to choose between individual exercise and collective management of rights since they may exercise their rights directly on the Internet(throughusingtechnologicalmeasuresandelectronicrightsmanagementinformation systems). This does not mean, however, that it is necessarily in the interest of owners of rightstomakeuseofthisopp ortunity. Thereasons for why, incertain fields --suchasthe exerciseoftherightsofcommunicationtothepublicandbroadcasting managementisthebestsolutionintheanalogworldalsoexistinthedigitalenvironment. Itis,inprin ciple,possibleforsomeexceptionallywell -knownandpopularauthorsand performers and successful publishers and producers to chose the individual way. Experience shows.however,that,atleast,inthecaseoftraditionalformsofcollectivemanagement, this kindof"dissidence"anddenialoftheprincipleofsolidaritymaybackfireandmaybe counter-productivenotonlyforthecommunityofownersofrightsconcernedbut,attheend oftheday, also for such kinds of "individualists."
- 34. Third, newformsofmanagementofrightsareemergingwhichareabletocombine individualandcollectiveelementsofexercisingrights ,suchascopyrightclearancecentres (forexample,thewayestablishedbyreprographicrightsorganisations)whichserveasa

centralised source of licensing but apply different tariffs and licensing conditions individually determined by the owners of rights.

- Forth, due to the phenomenon of "multimedia" --bothintheformofoff -line productionsandinthewaythedifferent categoriesofworksandobjectsofrelatedrightsare usedtogetherintheglobaldigitalnetwork -thereisagrowingneedforestablishing "coalitions" of various collective management or ganisations toofferacentralisedsourceof authorisation ("one-stopshops") orparticipatinginanevenmoregeneralco operationwhich mayextendalsotoindividualownersofrightsjoiningthe"coalition"eitherjustthrough including their licensing information or through also authorising the "coalition" as an agent to issueauthorisationsontheirbehalfinharmonywiththeirindividuallicensingconditionsand tariffs. This does not mean that in such a coalitional lthe various licensing sources merge together. Membersocieties may preserve their autonomy.
- 36. ItseemsobviousforwhatreasonsitisindispensableforSMEstoknowaboutthe advantagesofferedtothembycollectivemanagementschemesandtofollowtheabove outlineddevelopmentsinthisfieldquiteclosely.
- Collectivemanagementisadvantag eoustoSMEs nomatterwhethertheyparticipatein 37. collectivemanagementorganizations as owners of rights and, thus, are members thereof, ortheyusetheservicesofsuchorganizationsasusersofworksandobjectsofrelatedrights For SMEs as owners of rights incertain fields it is simply indispensable to join the competent collectiveorganization, sinceotherwise they could not exercise and enforce their rights, but also, in cases, where there is a certain room for freedom of decision between collections and the control of th tive managementandindividualexerciseofrights, SMEsshouldseethat collective bodies may helpthemin, at least three important ways: first, theymayenjoytheknow -howandexperience accumulatedinthecollectiveadministrationorganization ,somethin gwhichisrarely available at the same level in a small or medium-sizedorganizationtryingtoactalone; second, through collective licensing , they may substantially decrease their administrative theiointpower oftheownersofrights costsand, third, regroupedinthecollectivebody may guaranteeabetterpositioninnegotiations withbiggeruses.
- 38. WIPOhasrecognizedtheimportanceofcollectivemanagement, and under the last two programs it has increased its activities to give assistance in the development of collective management organizations, first of all indeveloping and "transition" countries, on the one hand, and innew fields where technological development has led to the need for new collective schemes, on the other hand.

Challengesposed, and opportunities offered, by the digital networked environmentand electronic commerce: SME satthecross roads of galloping changes

39. TheInternetandelectroniccommerceoffergreatopportunitiesforSMEs inthevar ious sectors, since, through the network, they may have access relatively easily, and at low cost, to the market — or at least to its certain segments — of the quickly growing Internet population. For this, however, they need a dequate information about the escopportunities, a long with the possible pitfalls; and they also need appropriate policy or ientation and training. It is obvious that the SMEs of those developing counties that are on the negative side of the "digital divide" deserves pecial attention and support.

- 40. Intellectualpropertyalsohasquiteanimportantroleintheemerging "Information Society" and inelectronic commerce . It is, therefore, understandable that, when Dr. Kamil Idris, was appointment to the post of Director General of WIPO in September 1997, in his acceptance speech, healso highlighted the Organization's increasing focus on the developments in information technology and the protection of intellectual property on the Internet. The first two bian nual programs of WIPO (f or 1998-1999 and 2000-2001) adopted and carriedouts in cehis appointment duly reflect this recognition and intention, and the vision of Director General on how to bring WIPO fully into the information age to the benefit of its Member States was further developed in the "WIPO Digital Agenda" announced by him in September 1999 in conclusion of the successful WIPO International Conference on Electronic Commerce and Intellectual Property.
- 41. Intheten -point"WIPODigitalAgenda"severalpointsthatrela teexclusivelyor *inter alia* tocopyrightissues. ThespecialimportanceofcopyrightinthecontextoftheInternet andelectroniccommerceis,toagreatextent,duetowhatisdiscussedintheintroductorypart ofthispaper;thatis,tothefactthat worksprotectedbycopyrightandobjectsofrelatedrights arefrequentlytransmittedanddistributedindigitalformthroughtheInternet(and,infact, mainlysuchworksandobjectsareamongthoseproductsinrespectofwhich"direct",full -fledgedelec troniccommercetakesplace).
- 42.  $SMEs are interested in this kind of electronic commerce both as owners of rights and as {\tt interest} and {$ usersofworksandobjectsofrelatedrights. *Ontheownersofrightsside*, *duetotheeasy* marketaccess and low distribution costsofferedbythedigitalnetwork,theopportunities for those SMEs which are involved in the creation and distribution of such works and objects are,infact exceptional. TheymaybeabletodomanythingsthroughtheInternetwitha reasonablechancefo rsuccessinthecaseofwhich, in the "realworld" of tangible products, theydonothaveanypossibilitytocompetewithmorepowerful,sometimesworld -widelevel. enterprises. They may be particularly successful in the distribution of local creations, more closely related to the specific needs of national markets and in certain niche-orientedfields. *SuchSMEsareparticularlyinterestedinanadequatewell* -balancedcopyrightregulation of theInternet -relatedactivities, sincetheycannot, ingeneral, affordthesameaswhatthe bigger,richerenterprisescan,namelymakingavailablematerialregularlyfreeofcharge (what,inthecaseofthelatter,mayonlyrepresentafractionoftheirrepertoires).
- Theguarantee forappropriatecopyrightand relatedrightsregulation of theis sues relatedtodigitaltechnologyandtheInternet istheadherence,implementationand -theWIPOCopyrightTreaty(WCT)and application of these -called WIPO Internettreaties the WIPOP erformances and Phonograms Treaty(WPPT). Thesetreaties are well -balanced, flexibleanddonotrepresentanyreallegislativeandeconomicburdentotheContracting Parties. At the same time, the yel arify how the existing norms should be applied in the digital,networkedenvironment; adaptthosenormssomewhattothisenvironment,and includeprovisionstoensuretheapplicabilityoftechnologicalprotectionmeasures and electronic rights management information (such as digital identifiers) without which it would notbepossibletoex erciseandenforcecopyrightandrelatedrightsontheInternet.Therefore, *itisintheinterestsofallcountrieswhichwishtobenefitfromthegreatopportunitiesoffered* bytheInternettoaccedetotheseWIPOtreatiesanddulyapplythem.And,for thereasons mentioned above, it is very much in the interest of SMEs that their count ries do so.

- 44. SMEsasusersofprotectedmaterialareinterestedinamechanismthatfacilitates obtainingauthorizationforthematerialrequiredbythem (fore xample,formultimedia productionswhicharetoincludeanumberofpreexistingelementsprotectedbycopyright and/orrelatedrights)inarelativesimpleway,atlowadministrativecostandagainsta reasonableremuneration. Inthecaseofcertaincateg oriesofworksandobjectsofrelated rights,thisisonlypossibleifadequatecollectivemanagementschemesareavailable. TheWIPODirectorGeneral'sDigitalAgendaalsocontainsapointtotakecareofthistask. Itreadsasfollows: Promoteadju stmentoftheinstitutionalframeworkforfacilitatingthe exploitationofintellectualpropertyinthepublicinterestinaglobaleconomyandonaglobal mediumthroughadministrativecoordinationand,wheredesiredbyusers,theimplementation ofpracti calsystemsinrespectoftheinteroperabilityandinterconnectionofelectronic copyrightmanagementsystemsandthemetadataofsuchsystems."
- 45. The WIPO Digital Agendar effects the recognition that specific measures are needed also in the field of intellectual property to ease—and as along—termobjective, to eliminate—the "digital divide". Without this, the "Global Information Network" may not become "global" and may not function in a way in which it is supposed to. It is the very first point of the Agendath at indicates the Organization's objective sinthis field:

"Broadentheparticipation of developing countries through the use of WIPONET [WIPO's Internet metwork] and other means for

- --accesstoIPinformation,
- --participationinglob alpolicyformulation,
- --opportunities touse their IP assets in eComerce."
- 50. ThereisapointintheAgendawhichisparticularlyrelevantforthoseSMEsof developingcountries(butalsoofothercountries):itindicatesasanobjectivetofacilita te "theonlinelicensingofthedigitalexpressionofculturalheritage.
- 51. TheprogramofWIPOcontainsanumberofconcreteprojectsinharmonywiththese objectives, and it is a dvisable for SME stofollow the application thereof, since they may highly benefit from the meither directly or indirectly.

[Endofdocument]