WIPO/REG/IP/GUZ/02/6(a)

ORIGINAL:English DATE:July2002



MINISTRYOFSCIENCEAND TECHNOLOGY

STATEINTELLECTUALPROPERTY OFFICE(SIPO)



WORLDINTELLECTUAL PROPERTYORGANIZATION



JAPANPATENTOFFICE

WIPOASIANR EGIONALSYMPOSIUMON THEIMPORTANCEOF THEINTELLECTUALPRO PERTYSYSTEMFORHIG H-TECH INDUSTRIES

organizedby the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

incooperationwith theMinistryofScienceandTechnologyoftheGovernmentof thePeople'sR epublicofChina,

theStateIntellectualPropertyOffice(SIPO)of thePeople'sRepublicofChina,

the Guangdong Bureau of Science and Technology and the Guangdong Intellectual Property Administration

andwiththeassistanceof the Japan Patent Office (J PO)

Guangzhou, China, July 10 to 12, 2002

ACTIONSANDREMEDIESANDDISPUTERESOLUTIONMECHANISMSFORTHE EFFECTIVEENFORCEMENTOFINTELLECTUALPROPERTYRIGHTS;THE ECONOMICBENEFITSOFCOMBATINGPIRACYANDCOUNTERFEITING

DocumentpreparedbyMr.Dona ldBollella,ChiefPatentCounsel, BursteinTechnologies,Inc.,Irvine,UnitedStatesofAmerica

WIPO/REG/IP/GUZ/02/6(a) page 2

INTRODUCTION

Thepatentingprocessisalong, complicated, and expensive process. Thus when Intellectual Property (IP) owners committee our cestode velop the irinnovations and protect them with duly is sued patents and other intellectual property; is sues regarding enforcement should be an alyzed. Typically, intellectual property in the form of patents, copyrights, trade marks and service marks, trade secrets, ma skworks, geographical indications, and industrial designs is enforced in national or regional courts having jurisdiction to resolve disputes involving such intellectual property. Jurisdiction over enforcement is usually granted to the judiciary by nation alors tatelegislation.

Inadditiontojudicialresolutionofintellectualpropertydisputes,partiesofteninvoke alternativedisputeresolutionmechanismssuchaslocalorinternationalarbitration,which maybebindingornon -binding.Underbindinga rbitration,theadversepartiestheretoagree inadvancethatthearbitrator'sfinalrulingwillbebindingandnotsubjecttojudicialreview. Advantagesofarbitrationarethatitistypicallylessexpensivethanlitigationandafinal rulingisusually renderedinashorterperiodoftime.Abenefitofbindingarbitrationisthat thefinaljudgmentofthearbitratorisfinalandtypicallynon -reviewablebythecourts.Inthis manner,thetypicallengthyperiodsforappealsarenotpermittedandthepa rtiesmustaccept therulingofthearbitrator.Thisisanadvantageforthesuccessfulpartybutmaybe consideredadisadvantagebythelosingparty.

The present paper discusses actions, remedies, and disputeres olution mechanisms for the effective enforcement of intellectual property rights. The economic benefits of combating piracy and counterfeiting are also addressed. This paper is thus divided into two parts. Part I is directed to actions and remedies for the enforcement of intellectual property rights. Since the majority of actions for IP infringement are filed in courts, Part I of the paper will focus on judicial actions and related remedies. Part II of the paper is directed to a briefe conomic discussion of the benefits of combating piracy and counterfeiting. This part of the paper is presented from both an individual nation's domestic point of view as well as from an international perspective.

PARTI

Actions and Remedies for the Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights

A. Preparingfor Enforcement

Beforefilinganactionforintellectualpropertyinfringement, the IPownermust besure of his case. For purposes of illustration, the following discussion will focus on patentactions since patent in fringement is typically themost comple xandex pensive, and typically leads to the largest findings of damages — at times in excess of hundreds of millions of dollars.

Oneofthefirsttasksinestablishingpatentinfringementisforthepatentownerto purchaseatleastoneoftheaccusedprodu cts. Theaccuseddeviceistheninspectedor reversedengineeredtodeterminewithcertaintythatthedefendant's products infringe the claims of the patent. Often times when complex technologies are involved, this process of reading the claims on the accused deviceis not a simple matter because fully understanding all technical aspects of the accused product requires a highlevel of technical expertise.

Infurtherpreparingforenforcement, the patentowner will often hire aprivate law firm to drafta validity opinion and/oranin fringement opinion. In avalidity opinion, the law firm

WIPO/REG/IP/GUZ/02/6(a) page 3

firstconductsandvaliditysearchofthepriorartthatmaynothavebeencitedtothepatent officeductingprosecutionofthepatentapplication. Theattorneysthens tudythis newprior arttodetermine with a high degree of certainty that the issued patentis indeed validover all possible priorart. The patentowner will also usually have the private law firm prepare an independent formal opinion that the accused products or methods in fringe the patents at issue.

B. PreparingforLitigation

Onceapatentownerhasdeterminedthatitspatentsareinfringedbyacompetitors' products, the patentowner typically puts the accused in fringer on actual notice by issuing a "offer-to-license" letterora "cease - and-desist" letter. Theoffer - to-license letter is a relatively non-threateninginvitationtoinitiatebusinessdiscussionsdirectedlicensingthepatent owner'spatents. As an alternative to the "offer -to-license'letter,orinthecasewhensuchan invitationhasbeenissuedbutignored, the patentowner may issue acease -and-desistletter. This type of letter is usually prepared with consultation from expert patent counsel. It clearly identifies the accused products, for example by model number or tradename, and the patents which are believed to be infringed by the identified products. This type of letter typically $concludes with a statement that if the alleged in fringer does not cease and desist with the {\it the alleged} and {\it the alleged} are the {\it the alleged} and {\it the alleged} are the {\it the alleged} and {\it the alleged} are the {\it the alleged} are$ manufacture, sale, or distribution of infringing products, the patentown er intends to sue the allegedinfringerincourt. If the infringing activity is not discontinued after are a sonable periodoftimefromwhenthecease -and-desistletterwasissued,thep atentownerthenfilesits complaintincourt.

C. FilingaComplaintforPatentInfringement

Oncethepatentownerhasdecidedtosueanallegedinfringer,acomplaintforpatent infringementisfiledinacourthavingjurisdictionoverthematter. The patentownertypically always retains a private law firm having expertise in patent litigation to handle the infringement case. It is the patent litigation attorneys in these law firms who draft the complaint and represent the patent owner before the court is of competent jurisdiction.

Afterthecomplaintforpatentinfringementisfiledwiththecourt, the accused in fringer prepares and files its answer to the complaint. The defendant's answer typically includes a number of defenses. These defenses usual lyincluden in fringement, patentin validity, antitust violations, patent misuse, and no -enforce ability due to fraudorine quitable conduct.

D. Pre-TrialDiscovery

In U.S. stylelitigation, aperiod of pre -trial discovery is conducted before commencement of the actual in -court trial, which may be argued before a jury. Pre -trial discovery is the process of collecting information and documentation from the opposing party by way of depositions, demands for documents, responses to interrogatories, and actory inspections. Both the plaint if fand the defendant are entitled to the court -ordered process of discovery. The demands for discovery are usually is sued under the subpoen a power of the court. If the opposing party is uncooperative with the discover y demand, the court may is sue an order of contempt. This may include jail time and monetary fines.

E. ThePatentInfringementTrial

WIPO/REG/IP/GUZ/02/6(a) page 4

Oncediscoverycloses, the parties prepare for trial. Preparation for trail usually includes an active period of motion of practice. Dispositive motions are those that would dispose of the litigation if granted in their entirety. Such motions include motions for summary judgment where there are no material is sues of fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Other typical motions include motions by the patente e/plaint if for a preliminary injunction. If granted, the preliminary injunction will prevent the defendant from continuing the alleged ly infringing activity during the course of trial. If a list necessary, a jury will be empanelled. The trial then proceeds with opening statements, testimony from called witnesses, and closing arguments. Shortly after the trial is completed, post-trial motions are often filed with the court. The count then is sue sits judgment - either for the plaint iff/patentee or for the defendant.

F. CourtOrderedRemedies

Iftheplaintiff/patenteeissuccessful,thecourtwillorderthatthedefendantcompensate theplaintiff/patenteeforthedamagecausedbytheinfrin gement. This compensation takes the form of either lost profits when such lost profits can be proven and are not speculative, or compensation based on a reasonable royal tycal culation. Under U.S. litigation standards if the infringement is intentional, the damages may be trebled by court order. This trebling of damages is intended to be punitive in nature.

PARTII

<u>ABriefDiscussionoftheEconomicBenefitsofCombatingPiracyandCounterfeiting</u>

A. CostsofPiracyandCounterfeiting

 $Most economists\ would agree that the costs of piracy and counterfeiting are undesirable from both adomestic point of view and from the international tradeperspective.$

When piracy and counterfeiting prevail in adomestice conomy, local, state, and national governments a reprevented from collecting taxes on what otherwise would be legitimate business activity. In addition thereto, and perhaps more importantly, piracy and counterfeiting have a chilling effect on domestic research and development (R&D). The intellectual property system provides an incentive fornational business organization stoin vest in research and development. In many industries, the costs of research and development are high. If a business organization can rely on the IP system for protection, it will be the ninvestin R&D since it can thereby recover its investment by way of profits in the market place while preventing infringers from having a "free ride"—that is, selling infringing products without have to should enthe cost for R&D.

On the international front, when a particular nation is known to have a high occurrence of piracy and counterfeiting, for eigninvestors may be dissuaded from promoting R&D activities in that nation. Such activities may include forming joint ventures, mergers and acquisitions, distribution arrangements, franchises, licensing, and marketing agreements. The loss of such for eigninvestment can have a substantial impact on the domestic economy in a developing nation.

WIPO/REG/IP/GUZ/02/6(a) page 5

B. EconomicBenefitsofSupportingtheIntellectualP ropertySystem

Thus in view of the above, one may appreciate that the economic benefits of supporting the intellectual property system are multifold.

Firstly, astrongnational IP system promotes the development of national industries by protecting the inventors markets othat hemay recover his costs of developing the innovation without having toworry that free riders will under -cuthisprices since they have no R&D costs to recover.

Secondly, supporting the IP system results in a more orderly and equivalent as the results in a more orderly and extends in the results in a more orderly and extends in the results in a more orderly and extends in the results in th

Thirdly, are liable national IP system attracts fore igninvestment. Such foreign investment improves the tax base and typically results in the creation of employment.

Andfourthly, awell -maintained IP system facilitates the creation of wealth and employment by giving protectable life and thus economic va lue to innovation. In tellectual property is therefore a means for increasing the economic activity anywhere there is creative human activity - which by some estimations - is everywhere.

[Endofdocument]