



WIPO/CME/2Rev.
ORIGINAL:English
DATE:September10,2002

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION GENEVA

CONSULTATIONMEETING ONENFORCEMENT

Geneva, September 11 to 13,2002

EXISTINGNEEDSFORTRAININGANDFORDEVELOPMENTOF ENFORCEMENTSTRATEGIES

Document prepared by the Secretariat

TABLEOFCONTENTS

			<u>Paragraphs</u>
I.		INTRODUCTION	1to5
Π.		IDENTIFYEXISTINGRE QUIREMENTSANDNEEDS FORTRAINING ANDDEVELOPMENTOF ENFORCEMENTSTRATEGIESFOR INDUSTRIALP ROPERTYINMEMBERST ATES	6to 25
-	Α.	InternationalObligationsintheFieldofIntellectualProperty	6
	В.	CoordinationattheInternationalLevel	7to9
(C.	TheRoleoftheNationalIntellectualPropertyOffices	10and11
	D.	CoordinationattheNationalLevel	12to14
	Е.	RoleofthePrivateSector	15
	F.	TargetGroupsforTraining	16
(G.	Trainingobjectives	17
	Н.	MethodsofTraining	18
	I.	TheCreationofSpecializedCourts	19and20
	J.	TraininginNewTechnologies	21and22
	K	AwarenessCampaignsforthePublic	. 23to25
III.		IDENTIFYEXTERNALRE SOURCESFORTRAINING AND COOPERATIONINENF ORCEMENTOFINDUSTRI ALPROPERTY, INCLUDINGPRIVATE SECTORRESOURCESAND OTHER MULTILATERALANDR EGIONALORGANIZATION S	26to 35
	Α.	InternationalCooperation	26to29
	В.	InternalCooperation	30
(C.	ContactsBetweenPublicandPrivateSectors	. 31to33
		ProgramsbyNationalIntellectualPropertyOfficesandGovernment Agencies	34
	E.	TheRoleofUniversities	.35

IV.	REPORTONWIPOACTIV ITIESINFAVOROFDE VELOPING	
	COUNTRIESANDCOUNT RIESINTRANSITION, FROM	
	JULY2000TOJUNE2 002,WITHREGARDTO TRAINING,	
	TECHNICALASSISTANC EAN DAWARENESSBUILDING	
	INTHEFIEL DOFENE ORCEMENT	TablesItoVII

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Duringthefirstsessionofthe *AdvisoryCommitteeonEnforcementofIndustrial PropertyRights(ACE/IP)* heldinGenevaonOctober19and20, 2000,theACE/IPproposed thattheInternationalBureauinitiatefourstudiesassetforthinparagraph8(e)(itoiv)of WIPOdocumentACE/IP/1/3(SummarybytheChair).
- 2. The Joint Meeting of the Advisory Committee on Enforcement of Industrial **Property** Rights(SecondSession)andofthe Advisory Committee on Management and Enforcement ofCopyrightandRelatedRightsinGlobalInformationNetworks (ThirdSession), heldin GenevafromDecember 18 to 20, 2001, was concluded with a Summary by the C hair, WIPO DocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3. Inparagraph1oftheSummarybytheChair, adopted by the AdvisoryCommittees,itwasstatedthat"[T]heAdvisoryCommitteesunanimouslyagreed thattheissueofenforcementofintellectualpropertyrightswasofgreat importancetoall countries. The Committees also agreed that the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)wasinaparticularlyappropriatepositiontogatherinformationconcerning enforcementofintellectualpropertyrightsandtocoordinateact ivitiesundertakenbythe Committeesjointlywithvariousintergovernmentalandnon -governmentalorganizations aimingatestablishingadequateandeffectiveenforcementsystems."
- 3. Pursuanttotheabove,theInternationalBureautransmitteda" Requestfor Information"¹toMemberStatesandOrganizationsinvitedasObserverstotheACE/IP.To date,responseswerereceivedfrom24MemberStates, ²twointergovernmental ³and 11non- governmentalorganizations. ⁴Itwasunderstood,followingtheSum marybythe Chair⁵subsequenttotheJointMeetingofbothAdvisoryCommitteesmentionedin paragraph 2,above,thattheresponseswouldrelate,horizontally,tothefieldofindustrial propertyaswellastocopyrightandrelatedrights.Thecurrentdocu mentispreparedin responsetoparagraph 1(b)oftheSummarybytheChair, ⁶whichreadsasfollows:

WIPOCircular6562,datedJuly17,2001.

The European Communities and the World Health Organization (WHO).

WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3.

Australia, Austria, Barbados, Czech Republic, Colombia, Guatemala, Hungary, Ireland, Japan, Kyrgyzstan, Mexico, Netherlands, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Saint Lucia, Spain, Switzerland, Theformer Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, United Kingdom, Ukraine, United States of America and Viet Nam.

BrazilianIntellectualPropertyAssociation(ABPI); Anti -CounterfeitingGroup(ACG)
United Kingdom; AmericanIntellectualPropertyLawAssociation(AIPLA); Asociación
LatinoamericanadeIntegración (ALADI), Uruguay; CEDIQ UIFA, Argentina; European
Writers' Congress(EWC), Spain; FédérationInternationaledesConseilsenPropriété
Industrielle (FICPI); InternationalAntiCounterfeitingCoalition(IACC),
United States of America; InternationalChamberofCommerce(ICC), Fra nce, onbehalfof
organizationsfromColombia, Peru, BogotaandMorocco; InternationalFederationofthe
PhonographicIndustry(IFPI), UnitedKingdom, onbehalfofthefollowingorganizations:
BusinessSoftwareAlliance(BSA), InternationalFederationo fFilmProducersAssociations
(FIAPF), InternationalPublishersAssociation(IPA), InteractiveSoftwareFederationofEurope
(ISFE), InternationalVideoFederation(IVF), MotionPictureAssociation(MPA) and
InternationalIntellectualPropertySociety(IIP S), UnitedStatesofAmerica.

⁶ WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3.

- "(b) theInternationalBureauisinvitedto:
- (i) identifytheexistingneedsfortrainingandfordevelopmentof enforcementstrategies;
- (ii) continueandintensifyWIPOactivitiesinfavorofdeveloping countriesandcountriesintransition,inparticulartheactivitiesoftheWIPOWorldwide Academyandoftheregionalbureaus,withregardtotraining,technicalassistanceand awarenessbuilding inthefieldofenforcement,takingintoaccountthecommentsmade inthisrespectbytheAdvisoryCommittees;
- $(iii) \quad report on the seactivities to the next WIPO meeting on enforcement issues."$
- 4. ThisdocumentisbasedontheresponsestoReq uest III "[I]dentifyexisting requirements and needs for training and development of enforcement strategies for industrialpropertyinMemberStates" andRequest IV "[I]dentifyexternalresourcesfortrainingand cooperationinenforcementofindustrialpr operty, including private sector resources and othermultilateralandregionalorganizations" of the Request for Information, referred to in paragraph 3, above. The purpose of the Request for Information was to assist the ACE/IP-ACMECtoidentifyissues fordiscussionandareaswhereinternationalcooperation intheframeworkofWIPOappearstobebothnecessaryandrealisticallyachievable. The $present document also makes reference to interventions maded uring the Joint Meeting of the {\tt Section 1} and {\tt Section 2} and {\tt Section 3} and {\tt Secti$ AdvisoryCommittee onEnforcementofIndustrialPropertyRights(SecondSession)andof the Advisory Committee on Management and Enforcement of Copyright and Related RightsinGlobalInformationNetworks(ThirdSession),heldinGenevafromDecember 18to 20. 2001. Aswas requested in the Summary by the Chair, the present document reports on the continuedWIPOactivities,fromJuly 2000toJune 2002, with regard to training, technical assistanceandawareness building in the field of enforcement. The views and opinions contained in the present document reflects only those which were expressed during the ACE/IP-ACMECmeetingandintheresponsesreceivedonaccountofthe"Requestfor Information"; the Secretaria thas neither embell is hed the content of those responses, nor inserteditsownviewstherein.
- 5. Holdersofintellectualpropertyrightsoftenownandmanageaportfolioofrightsthat includesbothindustrialpropertyandcopyrightandrelatedrights. Moreover, mostofthe practicalproblemsarethesame inrespecttotheenforcementofindustrialpropertyrightsand inrespecttotheenforcementofcopyrightandrelatedrights. Therefore, inthepresent document, unless expressly excluded, the term "counterfeit goods" also includes "pirated copyright works" and *viceversa*. Similarly, references to "national intellectual property offices" should be understood to incorporate both industrial property and copyright offices.

- II. IDENTIFYEXISTINGRE QUIREMENTSANDNEEDS FORTRAININGAND DEVELOPMENTOFENFOR CEMENTSTRATEGIESFO RINDUSTRIAL PROPERTY⁷INMEMBERSTATES
- $A. \quad International Obligations in the Field of Intellectual Property$
- 6. Theresponses indicated that due to new obligations in the field of intellectual property protection, arising from, interalia, the Agreement on Trade - Related Aspects of Intellectual PropertyRights(TRIPSAgreement),theWIPOCopyrightTreaty(WCT)andtheWIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT), agreat number of Members States have alreadyenactedrevisedl egislationgivingeffecttotheobligationsarisingfromtheselegal instruments. This, it was claimed, resulted in a large -scaleneedfortrainingandstudy programs, targeting not only officials from national intellectual property of fices, but also fromotherrelatedministries, departments and enforcement agencies, as well as members of the legalprofession,ingovernmentserviceorprivatepractice,andthejudiciary.Itwasstated thatinlightofthenumberofstakeholdersinvolvedintheprocessof enforcingintellectual propertyrights, there was an increasingly pressing need for structured cooperation between all thoseinvolvedinthefieldofenforcement, at the national and international level, including alsotherightholdersandtheassociatio nsrepresentingtheirrights. Whereasitwas acknowledged that WIPO had are cognized coordinating role to play at the international level,nationalintellectualpropertyofficeswereviewedasbeingwellpositionedtoplayasimilar roleatthenationall evel.

B. CoordinationattheInternationalLevel

- 7. Anumberofresponsessuggestedthat, in order to better coordinate enforcement strategies and activities, there should be increased cooperation between international organizationsandregiona Igroupingsinvolvedinthefieldofenforcementofintellectual propertyrights, on the one hand, and increased coordination among Member States and internationalorganizations, on the other hand. This approach would avoid duplication of effortandfacil itatethesharingofexpertiseandexperience. Itwasrecognized that, in the past, the cooperation between intergovernmental organizations had been loosely structured andthattherewasacallforenhancedandtightercooperationbetweentheseorganizati ons.It was, interalia, suggestedthat WIPO could play arolein not only coordinating international endeavorstofightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy, butthatitcould also beinstrumentalin settingupananti -counterfeitingandanti -piracyforuma ttheinternationallevel. The process would involve the identification of a reas which we rerelevant to effect ive or fair enforcement.The exercise would provide valuable guidance for all countries, and, in turn, would allow trainingandtechnicalassist ancetobemorefocused. Areaswhereinternational collaboration wouldbebeneficialmightalsobeidentified. It was also suggested that WIPO could setup a worldwideactivityforWorldIntellectualPropertyDayanddesign,produceandprovide informationmaterialstoMemberStates.
- 8. Itwasrecognized that, at the international level, one of the most important aspects of training is the assessment of needs, the results of which could serve as the basis for the level,

-ACMEC/3,theRequestfor horizontallywithissues

SubsequenttotheSummarybytheChair,WIPOdocumentACE/IP Informationwasnotlimitedtoissuesofindustrialproperty,butdealt relatingtocopyrightandrelatedrights.

contentandstyleofany technicalassistanceprovided. Withreferencetoenforcementatthe borders, the following were noted as deserving particular attention: a dequate legislation on enforcement; systems and legal procedures, such as the application process, communication with the private sector, the granting or taking of indemnities and bank guarantees, which tended to act as inhibiting factors; enforcement knowledge, experience and techniques available to customs authorities; and cooperation between all relevant bodies, agencies and parties.

9. TheresponsesunderscoredthatWIPOcouldplayaleadershiproleinthecoordination ofenforcementbetweenintergovernmentalorganizations, whereastheWorldCustoms Organization(WCO), for example, wouldplayitspart by coordinating the efforts of customs administrations and by providing expertres our cesand information from its Member States. It could also coordinate the sharing of information among customs agencies about exports, imports and the trans -shipment of gods in order to identify their source and the creation of uniform intellectual property databases which could assist customs of ficers in identifying infringing goods and tracing down rightholders following exofficio action on their part.

C. TheRoleof theNationalIntellectualPropertyOffices

- 10. Theresponsesgenerallyagreedthatnationalintellectualpropertyofficeshaveavery strategicroletoplayinthedevelopmentofintellectualpropertylegislation,policiesand enforcementstrateg ies,aswellascoordinatingtrainingandawarenesscreatingactivities. Thatroleincludedactionaimedateffectivelyimplementinginternationalobligationsand legislationgivingeffecttheretoor,wherelegislationisnotasyetamendedaccordingto internationalstandards,totaketherequiredstepstoseekthetechnicalassistancetoactivate theprocessofmodernization.
- 11. Accordingtosomeoftheresponses, acountry -by countrytechnical assistance approach appeared feasible in light of the fact that it would be difficult, due to diverse national legal systems and traditions, to draft detailed model provisions with regard to the problem of implementing enforcement obligations. It was stressed that beyond coordinating training activities in line with formulated policies of the national government, of fices also had responsibilities in presenting training sessions sponsored by foreign governments or organizations, as well as intergovernmental, regional or non -governmental organizations. Of fices could also play an active role in defining the framework forteaching programs and the development of teaching tools, which could be done in cooperation with local universities, in stitutes of intellectual property lawyers, associations of right holde rs, and the like.

D. CoordinationattheNationalLevel

12. Theresponsesnotedthatgovernmentsandenforcementagenciesdidnotalwaysrealize theircriticalroleintheenforcementofintellectualpropertyrights. Inordertobeeffective, theenforcementsystemshouldbetransparentandfair, basedonlegislationandregulations, with coordination among national and local governmententities and the rightholders or other intellectual property constituents. Publica wareness of intellectual property rights and engagement in their protection was also recognized as crucial in ensuring over all successin the protection of intellectual property rights. It was suggested that governments could develop and delivereducation programs designed to help powners of intellectual property rights to understand what their rights entail and how to manage those rights, including the

developmentofenforcementstrategiesandpolicies. Rightholdersshouldknowhowand wheretoactagainstinfringementandthem ostexpeditious procedures to be followed.

- 13. Asnotedinsomeresponses, training programs may depend on the legalore conomic development of the country under going or organizing training and the duration and level of training may also be determined by the policies of the government on the combating of piracy and counterfeiting. It was proposed that some programs of a more specific nature might focus on ways and means beyond TRIPS obligations to ensure effective and efficient enforcement. According to a number of responses training with counterparts from countries where intellectual property systems are more developed or sophisticated proved to be useful for the training of, in particular, customs of ficials, prosecutors and the judiciary.
- 14. Theresponsesgenerallyagreedthatofficesalsohavearoletoplayintheharmonization of procedures and penalties for different forms of intellectual property; the use of formal agreements between state institutions to coordinate enforcement activities; the creation of task forces consisting of enforcement of ficials from all branches of government as a first level, and as a second level public bodies and private organizations and rightholders; methods of raising a wareness among different groups; and rightholders' responsibilities to minimize the risk of infringement and to take precautions, including the registration of rights and the use of technological measures.

E. RoleofthePrivateSector

15. Itwaspointedoutthatright holdershaveavestedinterestintheprotectionoftheir intellectualpropertyrightsandhave,particularlyinindustrializedcountries,thecapacityto assistfinanciallyandotherwiseinthetrainingprocessofgovernmentofficialsand enforcementagen ciesindevelopingcountriesandcountriesintransition. Theresponses stressedthatbysharingtheirknowledgeonproductidentificationandenforcement experiences,theymayassistinaddressingurgenttrainingneedsinagreatnumberofMember States.

F. TargetGroupsforTraining

- 16. Itwasnotedthatthevastmajorityofroleplayersintheenforcementprocesswas generallyinneedoftraining, albeitatthebasic, intermediaryoradvancedlevels. According totheresponses, the targetgr oupsinsomeormostofthe Members States could include, *interalia*, the following:
- (a) stafffromintellectualpropertyoffices:responsesurgedthatthereisaperpetual needtotrainofficialsofnationalintellectualpropertyoffices. Theyhavear oletoplayinthe disseminatingofknowledgetootherinvolvedministriesordepartments, to the future right holders, the legal profession and to industry. Due to the staffing policies of many governments and due to human resource movements to the priva tesector, there is normally a highlevel of personnel turnover innational intellectual property of fices, which also increases the demand for continuous training and development programs;
- (b) Stateattorneys: itwasrecognizedasimportanttoaddressth etrainingneedsof stateattorneysinvolvedinthedraftingofnewintellectualpropertylegislation;

- (c) publicprosecutors:inordertoachievepositiveresultsincriminalprosecutions,it wasviewedasimportanttoprovideprosecutorswithtraining intheareaofintellectual propertycrimesandtoassistthemindraftingchargesheetsandpresentingevidence.Itwas stressedthattheyplayapivotalroleindemandingordersforthedestructionofinfringing goodsandrelatedequipment,withoutwhic hconfiscatedgoodsmaybeexportedorinfact reachthechannelsofdistributionfollowingthefinalizationsofcriminalprosecutions. Accordingly,theyshouldalsobeawareofthedamagingimpactofcounterfeitingandpiracy ontheeconomy,inorderto pressfordeterrentpenaltiesfollowingconvictions.Onceaware oftheseriousnessofintellectualpropertycrimes,theycouldalsoplayaroleininvolvingthe presstoensurethatintellectualpropertycrimesarereportedinlocalnewspapers;
- (d) thep oliceforce:theresponsesurgedthatinvestigatingofficersinthepolice service, which is one of the main arms of enforcement, should be sensitized about the devastating economic implications of intellectual property crimes and the connection of intellectual property criminals with organized crime. They should be trained in effective methods of investigating economic and intellectual property crimes, including the preparation of evidence and the drafting of charges;
- (e) customs and excise officials: it was generally agreed that customs and excise officials have a critical role to play in the blocking of infringing goods at the border before entering the channels of commerce and that well -trained customs of ficials would go along way in the curtailing of infringement. In most Member States, they require in particular training in the identification of infringing goods;
- (f) the judiciary: the responses were clear that the judiciary, including magistrates and judges, should be fully aware of the seriousness of intellectual property crimes and how to deal not only with the offenders, but also with the infringing goods and implements used in the manufacturing thereof. Their judgements should have a deterrent effect and destruction or ders will prevent infringing goods finding their way back into the channels of commerce;
- (g) rightholders:itwaspointedoutthatrightholdersshouldbetrainedtounderstand theirrightsandhowtoenforcethem.Onceawareoftheirrightsandhowtomanagethem, rightholderscouldalsoplayanimportantroleinthetrainingprocess;
- (h) theconsumerpublic:mostresponsesunderscoredthatawarenessaboutthevalue of intellectual property rights and their protections hould be created among consumers. They should learn to appreciate the intellectual property rights of others, the economic importance of those rights not only for the holder, but also for the economy.

G. TrainingObjectives

17. Responsesgenerallyindicatedthatduetolegislativeamendmentsto intellectual property,tradeandconsumerprotectionlegislationandarapidgrowthintheinfringementof intellectualpropertyrights,aswellasthegrowingpoliticalimportanceofprotecting intellectualpropertyrights,therewasaconstantneedfort raininganddevelopmentatall levelsforstakeholdersinvolvedintheenforcementprocess. Thisnecessityfortrainingis enhancedbythestaffingpoliciesapplicableinsomecountries, whichincludetherotationof officialsingovernmentagencies, incl. udingofficialsinvolvedintheprotectionofintellectual propertyrights. Itwassuggestedthatthetrainingstrategiesintherespective Member States

couldincludeongoingprograms, targeting the development of different levels of knowledge, including:

- (a) legalknowledge:withoutsufficientknowledgeonprovisionscontainedin implementinglegislation,internationallegalinstrumentsandapplicablecaselaw,those involvedintheenforcementprocesscouldnotfullyunderstandthelegalframeworkwit hin whichtheyhavetoperformtheirduties;
- (b) organizationalknowledge: enforcementofficialsandagenciesshouldhavethe requiredinsighttoknowwhothestakeholdersare,theirfunctionsandachievableresults,who hastoworktogetherandwhy;
- (c) practicalknowledge:inparticularforcustomsofficersandthoseinvolvedinthe investigationofeconomicrelatedcrimes,includingcriteriaforriskmanagement,elementsfor riskanalysissuchastheflowofgoods,countriesoforiginrelatedtogood s,producers, importers,countriesofdestinations,sensitivegoods,methodsofinfringementsandapplicable caselaw;
- (d) knowledgeofandinformationonrightholders:thiswouldgreatlyassistofficials involved in the enforcement of intellectual property infringements and to obtain assistanced uring, in particular, exofficio actions;
- (e) knowledgeofinfringinggoodsandthedifferentintellectualpropertyrightsthat maybeinvolved:su chknowledgewouldfacilitatetheactiontakenbyenforcementofficials andalsoassistthemintheidentificationofinfringinggoodsandwoulddeterminesubsequent actionsandinvestigations;and
- (f) exchangeprograms: which would allow for collaborat ion with counterparts in different jurisdictions, whereen forcement procedures might already be more developed and sophisticated.

H. MethodsofTraining

18. Itwassuggestedthattrainingcouldbeconductedbywayof, *interalia*, seminarsand workshopsatthenational,regionalorinternationallevel.Itcouldalsobeusefultoexpose officialsfromdevelopingcountriestomoresophisticatedsystemswheretheycanappreciate theimportanceofeffectiveimplementationofenforcementstrategies. Itwasfound,during seminarsandworkshops,thatthecasestudyapproach,asamethodoftraining,involving actualortheoreticalintellectualpropertyenforcementviolations,provedtobeeffectiveandit increasedthelevelofinteractionandshowedpr acticalwaystosolveactualintellectual propertyproblemsbyinteractingwiththemoderatorandwithotherparticipants.

I. The Creation of Specialized Courts

19. Agreatnumberofresponsespromotedtheestablishmentofspecializedcourtsdue to thecomplexnatureofintellectualpropertyinfringements, particularly patentinfringements. It was viewed as a possible solution to achieving cost -effective, efficient and consistent decision making. The effectiveness of specialized courts could a sobeen hanced by the creation of a moderninformation technology supportstructure and an ational reference library

dedicatedprimarilytointellectualpropertylaw. Thissystemwouldinvolvethetrainingofa relativelysmallgroupofjudgesandprosecu torstohandleincreasinglycomplexissues.

20. Itwaspointedoutthat,inthemajorityofMemberStates,theordinarycivilcourtshave difficultyindeterminingcompensationforinfringementsofpatentrightsandalsowiththe applicationoft hereversaloftheburdenofproofwherethereis primafacie evidenceofthe defendant'sinfringementofpatentrights,regardlessofwhetherornotprocesspatents resultinginanewproductareinvolvedandtheproblemsofprotectingdatainthemarket approvalfileonapharmaceuticalproduct.Itwasstatedthatitcouldalsobeusefultoadopta systemofinformationonthestatusofpatentsforactiveingredients,oralternatively,asystem wherebypatentsandmarketingapprovalarelinked,oragain aprocedurewherebytheowner oftheoriginalmedicinesisquitesimplyinformedofapplicationsforgenericmedicines,in orderthathemaytakethenecessaryaction.Itwasfurthermoreproposedthatfreeaccessbe giventothenon -confidentialdataint hefile.

J. TraininginNewTechnologies

- 21. Theresponsesunderscoredthatenforcementofficialscouldbenefitfromtrainingin productidentificationandthemaintenanceofdatabasescontaininginformationconcerning intellectualpropertyrigh ts. Trainingcoulddealwiththeconfiscationofpiratedand counterfeitgoodsandontechniquesandmethodsfordetectingsuchmerchandiseandthe eventualfateofconfiscatedgoods. Anumberofresponsesalsosuggestedthatitwouldbe beneficialtogi vethemaccesstodatabaseswithinformationonexistingintellectualproperty rights. If atallpossible, they should have connection with counterparts, within national bordersoronaregional basis, via an Intranet network or an Internet connection and seminars for operational officials.
- 22. Itwaspointedoutthatenforcementofficials should be fully aware of the risks (health, safety and sub-standard quality) attached to counterfeit goods and should be in a position to assess suchrisk, where possible, with the assistance of digital and other specialized equipment.

K. AwarenessCampaignsforthePublic

- 23. Inordertoachieveresultsinthefightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy,itwasnoted thatthereoughttobe,ineac hMemberState,aperceptibleheighteningofawarenessamong thegeneralpublicandgovernmentagenciesastotheimportanceofadequateintellectual propertyrightsprotectionfortheeconomicdevelopmentandwellbeingofthecountry.For thispurpose, extensiveintellectualpropertyeducationandawarenessprogramswere suggested,toeducateandinformthepublicaboutthebenefitsthatastrongintellectual propertysystemcanhavefortheireconomy.Governmentscouldstrivetocultivatean understandingof,andrespectfor,intellectualpropertyrights.
- 24. Itwasalsoobservedthatthepubliccouldbetrainedtounderstandandappreciatethe importanceofintellectualpropertyprotectionandimportancetodevelopingnew,highvalue addedin dustries,whichwillsecureemploymentandpromotedomesticandforeign investmentandwhichwillpromotenationalcultureandstrengthenlocalresources.Itwas statedthatifthepubliccouldbeeducatedfromayoungagetobeawareofandunderstandth needforasoundsystemofprotectingandenforcingintellectualpropertyrights,someofthe

problems associated with the infringement of intellectual property rights might be avoided later.

- 25. Theresponsesrecognizedthatconsumerparticipa tionwasvitalinthefightagainst counterfeitingandpiracy. Aknowledge -basedsocietywillalsoresultinenterprisesbecoming morecreativeandinnovative, understandingthatstrongprotection of intellectual property rightswillbring financial benefit. To achieve this goal, Members States could work with private sector partners to create outreachen forcement programs, involving the media and using the Internet, street presentations, and the like. The value of legitimate in tellectual property rights should be underlined, whereas the known ties between piracy, counterfeiting and organized crimeshould be exposed. To sensitize consumers, it was suggested to have road shows or exhibitions with examples of infringing goods, including those with detrimental effects on health and safety, while explaining the dangers and economic disadvantages of supporting the illegal trade in infringing goods.
- III. IDENTIFYEXTERNALRE SOURCESFORTRAINING ANDCOOPERATIONIN ENFORCEMENTOFINDUS TRIALPROPERTY, ⁸INCLUDING PRIVATE SECTORRESOURCESAND OTHERMULTILATERAL ANDREGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

A. InternationalCooperation

- 26. WIPOwasregarded, in both the responses and the interventions made at the joint ACE/IP-ACMECmeeting,referredtoinparagraph2,above ,aswellplacedtocoordinate ⁹WIPOhasundertaken traininganddevelopmentonaninternationallevel.Since1996, various activities in the area of enforcement, particularly within the Sector of Cooperation for Developmentanditsregionalbureauswhose variousprogramshaveincludedparticipationby intergovernmentalorganizationsandnon -governmentalorganizations. However, enhanced collaborationattheinternationallevelwouldavoidtheduplicationofefforts, facilitatethe sharingofexpertiseand informationandwould also streamline enforcement strategies. Such cooperationshouldnotbelimitedto, butincludeorganizationslikethe World Customs Organization(WCO), WorldTradeOrganization(WTO), InternationalCrimePolice Organization(INTERPO L), United Nations Educational, Scientificand Cultural Organization (UNESCO), WorldHealthOrganization(WHO) and the European Communities (EC).
- 27. ItwasclearfromsomeoftheresponsesthattheEuropeanCommunityanditsMember
 Stateswered evotingsubstantialresourcestotechnicalcooperationwithcountriesofother
 continents,whichwereeitherspecificbilateralcooperationoractionsfittingintoamore
 generalframework,suchaspreparationprogramsforWTOaccession,generalprogramsf
 or
 developingbusinessskillsandPHARE(PolandandHungary,Assistanceforthe
 ReconstructionoftheEconomy)andTACIS(TechnicalAssistancefortheCommonwealthof
 IndependentStates)programs.Dependingontheneedandrequestsofthecountryconcerne
 d,

SubsequenttotheSummarybytheChair,WIPOdocumentACE/IP -ACMEC/3,theRequestfor Informationwasnotlimitedtoissuesofindustrialproperty,butdealthorizontallywithissues relatingtointellectualproperty,includingcopyrightandrelatedrights.

Followingtheenteringintoforce, on January 1, 1996, of the Agreement Between the World Intellectual Property Organization and the World Trade Organization (1995).

thetechnical cooperation proposed by the European Commission might focus on legislative advice, exchanges on how to organize the administrative infrastructure, awareness promotion in the private sector and civils ociety and human resources training.

- 28. Itwassuggestedthatfruitfulcoordinationwouldalsoresultfromenhancedcooperation withregionalgroupingssuchastheCouncilofEurope,theAsiaPacificEconomic CooperationCouncil'sIntellectualPropertyExpert'sGroup(APEC -IPEG),th eUnited NationsEconomicCommissionforEurope,AdvisoryGroupintheProtectionand ImplementationofIntellectualPropertyRights(UN/ECEIPAdvisoryGroup),the AssociationofSoutheastAsianNations(ASEAN),theANDEANCommunity,the Organizationfor EconomicCooperationandDevelopment(OECD)andotherregional organizations.
- WIPOhasintensivetrainingprogramswithanumberofnationalofficesincludingthe 29. UnitedStatesPatentandTrademarkOffice(USPTO),theUS CopyrightOffice,the Japanese PatentOffice(JPO),theEuropeanPatentOffice(EPO),theUK PatentOfficeandtheFrench InstituteofIndustrialProperty(INPI),tomentionbutafew.Beyondcooperationwith nationalintellectualpropertyofficesandotherenforcementagenci es, enhanced cooperation withinter -governmentalandnon -governmentalorganizationsisalsoofvitalimportance. Theaimistoestablishacoordinatedenforcementstrategyattheinternationalandnational levels,includingrenderingassistancetogovernme ntsinformulatingandgivingeffecttotheir internalenforcementpolicies. Inaddition, according to the responses, there is also an eed for thecompilation and distribution of landmark case law as useful training material and to enhancejudicialknowle dgeintheareaofenforcement. Finally, the creation of awareness among consumers is of critical importance in the fight against counterfeiting and piracy.

B. InternalCooperation

30. AgreatnumberofresponsesproposedthateveryMemberStat eshouldendeavorto coordinateenforcementstrategiesinternallybyestablishingintellectualpropertyenforcement unitsortaskforces,whichincludestakeholdersnotonlyfromthevariousinterested governmentbranches,butalsofromindustryorassocia tionsrepresentingrightholders.

C. ContactsBetweenPublicandPrivateSectors

- 31. Theresponsesnotedthat,totheextentthatintellectualpropertyrightholdershada vestedinterestinstoppinginfringement,suchholderscouldbecomeanin valuableresourcein training.Governments,ontheotherhand,alsohadvestedinterestsintheprotectionof intellectualpropertyrights,ascounterfeitingandpiracyresultinthelossofgovernment revenues,joblossesandadownsizingoflegaleconom icactivity.Finally,consumershad vestedinterestsinensuringthattheproductstheypurchase,includingpharmaceuticalsand foodproducts,arenotcounterfeit.Thereshould,accordingly,becooperationbetween enforcementauthoritieswithinthegover nmentandintellectualpropertyownersaswellas otherconstituents.
- 32. Theresponsesunderscoredthatcooperationbetweenthepublicandprivatesectors seemednecessarytopreservevestedinterestsandtomaintainandenhancepractical knowledgeonbothsides.Rightholderscouldhelpgovernmentalenforcementauthoritiesby participatingintrainingactivities,sharingexperiences,producing, *interalia*,product identificationmanuals,pamphlets,brochuresandsamples.Theycouldplayanact iverolein

teachingenforcementofficialshowtodistinguishbetweenrealandcounterfeitgoods. The responsespointedoutthattheycouldalsoprovideinformationonhowtoidentifysuspects engagedinactivitiesrelatingtothemanufacture, distributio nandsaleofinfringinggoods, or howtoidentifyharmfulormisleadingproducts. It was also suggested that they could be encouraged to undertake self -helpactivities, like simple investigations at the retaillevel and to provide information to enforcem entauthorities regarding the availability of counterfeit and pirated goods for more detailed investigation by lawen forcement.

- 33. Theresponsesobservedthatthroughuseandfurtherdevelopmentofmoresophisticated anti-counterfeitandmarketi ngtechnologiesandprograms,rightholderscouldmake counterfeitingmoredifficult,orenableconsumersthemselvestomorereadilydistinguishreal productsfromfakes.Rightholderscouldalsopromotetheruleoflawandencouragethe formationofadeq uatefairtradeandconsumerprotectionlaws,whichcouldallprovide additionalremediestoenforceintellectualpropertyrights.Theresponsesgenerallyagreed thatgovernmentagenciescouldnotonlyinvolveindustryrepresentativesintrainingsessions butshouldalsohaveregularconsultationswiththemonpressingenforcementproblemsand possiblesolutionsthereto.Althoughprivatesectorparticipationwasseenaspivotalinthe fightagainstcounterfeitingandpiracy,privatesectorfunding,howev er,shouldbeaccepted withdiscretion,sincegovernmentsalsohadaresponsibilitytoguaranteetheindependenceof officers.
- D. ProgramsbyNationalIntellectualPropertyOfficesandGovernmentAgencies
- 34. Severalresponsessuggestedthatgov ernmentagenciesshouldprovideongoingtraining programs, dealing withintellectual property administration and examination, including the drafting and review of legislation as well as participation in national, regional and international meetings, worksh opsands eminars. It was clear from the responses that a number of national offices were very active in the field of training, not only within their national borders, but also financing training programs for Member States with less expertise and resources in the field of intellectual property rights.
- E. TheRoleofUniversities
- 35. Theresponsesnotedthatuniversitiesplayedanimportantroleintheteachingof intellectualpropertylawsandoftenpresentedprogramsofvariouslengthsfocussing on the protection of intellectual property rights.
- IV. REPORTONWIPOACTIV ITIESINFAVOROFDE VELOPINGCOUNTRIESA ND COUNTRIESINTRANSIT ION,FROMJULY2000 TOJUNE2002,WITH REGARDTOTRAINING, TECHNICALASSISTANCE ANDAWARENESS BUILDINGINTHEFIEL DOFENFORCEMENT

[TablesItoVIIfollow]

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEI

ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

AFRICA

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.WIPOSubregionalSeminarontheImplementationand EnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights, N'Djamena,Chad,September13to15	1.PanAfricanConferenceontheStatusofArtists, Yaoundé,Cameroon,Jul y3to5	1.WIPO/FIM ¹⁰ MeetingoftheNationalAntipiracy WorkingGroup,DaresSalaam, UnitedRepublicofTanzania,March14and15
2.WIPOSubregionalSeminarontheRoleofCopyright OfficesintheImplementationoftheBanderoleSystemas anEnforceme ntTool,Bamako,Mali,September18to21	2.WorkshoponIntellectualPropertyRights,Zanzibar, UnitedRepublicofTanzania,July6and7	2.WIPOMissionontheImplementationofanAntipiracy Scheme,andDraftingofAntipiracyRegulations, DaresSalaam, UnitedRepublicofTanzania, March14and15
	3.ExpertMeetingonDraftingofModelRegulationsfora SecurityDeviceSchemeforSoundandAudiovisual Recordings,DaresSalaam,UnitedRepublicofTanzania, July23and24	3.WIPO -SponsoredStudyVisits forRepresentativesof Kenya,UnitedRepublicofTanzaniaandMozambique,to Portugal,MalawiandGhana,ontheImplementationof anAnti -piracyScheme,July8to12
	4.DiscussionswiththeWorldCustomsOrganization (WCO)onTrainingforCustomsOfficia ls,Brussels, Belgium,September12	
	5.ParticipationofaWIPOConsultantintheIFPI InternationalConferenceonPiracy,CapeTown, SouthAfrica,October10to12	
	6.WIPOTrainingWorkshopforCustomsandPolice, Nairobi,Kenya,October29and30	
	7.StudyVisitforAfricanProducersofSoundand AudiovisualRecordings,Johannesburg,SouthAfrica, November25and26	

[TableIIfollows]

FIM:Intern ationalFederationofMusicians

¹¹ IFPI:InternationalFederationofthePhonographicIndustry

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEII

ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune 2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

ARABSTATES

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.RovingSeminaronIntellectualPropertyandtheTRIPS AgreementforJudges,Tunis,Tunisia,July4t o6	1.TrainingWorkshopforSudaneseLawyersonIntellectual PropertyandtheTRIPSAgreement,Khartoum,Sudan, February24and25	1.WIPOTrainingCourseonIntellectualProperty,Doha, Qatar,February11to13
2.NationalWorkshoponIntellectualPrope rtyforthe Judiciary,Sana'a,Yemen,October10and11 3.NationalSeminaronEnforceme ntofIntellectual	2.NationalSeminarontheTRIPSAgreement,Djibouti, Djibouti,April9and10 3.NationalWorkshoponIntellectualPropertyforthe	2.WIPO/ALUNationalSeminarforLawyersandJudges, KuwaitCity,Kuwait,March2and3 3.WIPO/WTOArabRegionalConferenceonIntellectual
PropertyRights,Muscat,Oman,October22to24 4.StudyVisitbytheJudiciaryofSudantotheWIPO	Judiciary,Sana'a,Yemen,June12and13 4.NationalWorkshopforParliamentarians,Tunis,Tunisia,	PropertyandtheDohaMinisterialDeclara tion,Doha, Qatar,April28to30 4.Sub -RegionalSymposiumonIntellectualPropertyfor
Headquarters,September14and15	June14and15	MembersoftheJudiciaryofCountriesoftheGCC ¹² , AbuDhabi,UnitedArabEmirates,May13to15
	5.StudyVisitoftheJudiciaryofJordantotheWIPO Headquarters,Geneva,October8to12	5.NationalSeminarontheTRIPSAgreement,Algiers, Algeria,June10 and11
	6.WIPO/ALU ¹³ RovingSeminarsforLawyersandJudges, inEgypt,MoroccoandTunisia,October20to31	
	7.MeetingwithMembersofParliamentfromEgypt, Geneva,October22to26	
	8.WIPOArabRegionalConferenceonEnforcementof Intellectual PropertyRightsfortheJudiciary,Amman, Jordan,November5to7	
	9.WIPO/BSA ¹⁴ SubregionalSeminarontheTRIPS AgreementandCopyrightandRelatedRights, November8and9	

GCC:GulfCooperationCouncil

ALU:ArabLawyersUnion

BSA:BusinessSoftwareAlliance

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEII

[TableIIIfollows]

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEIII

ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountri esandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

ASIAANDTHEPACIFIC

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.TrainingCourseonEnforcementofInd ustrialProperty, Tokyo,Japan,September11to22	1.RovingNationalWorkshopsonEnforcementofIPR, Karachi,Lahore,Islamabad,Pakistan,March12to20	1.WIPOAsiaPacificRegionalColloquiumon IntellectualPropertyfortheJudiciary,NewDelhi,Indi a, February6to8
2.WIPORegionalSymposiumontheTRIPSAgreement fortheJudiciaryandEnforcementAgencies,Tehran, IslamicRepublicofIran,September9to11	2.NationalWorkshoponEnforcementofIPRsfor CustomsOfficials,Tehran,IslamicRepubli cofIran, April24to26	2.NationalWorkshopontheProtectionandEnforcement ofCopyrightandRelatedRights,Suva,Fiji,February26
3.WIPO/USPTORegionalConferenceonIntellectual PropertyEnforcementintheDigitalEconomy, ChiangRai,Thailand ,September18and19	3.WIPORegionalSymposiumontheEnforcementofIPR inthe21stCentury,Auckland,NewZealand, May8to10	3.NationalSeminaronEmergingIssuesofEnforcement intheDigitalAge,Jakarta,Indonesia,April25
4.WIPONationalRov ingSeminarsonEnforcementof IntellectualPropertyRights(IPR),Surabaya,Jakartaand Batam,Indonesia,October16to24	4.WIPOOrientationandStudyProgramforSenior CustomsandIPEnforcementOfficialsfromCountriesof AsiaandthePacificonthe EnforcementofIPR,Geneva, Brussels,Belgium;Amsterdam,Netherlands;Hamburg, Germany,June11to22	
5.NationalSeminarontheEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRightsfortheJudiciary,CustomsandPolice Officials,Bhutan,November16and17	5.NationalSeminaronEnforcementofIPR,Vientiane, LaoPeople'sDemocraticRepublic,September4and5	
	6.SpecialTrainingCourseontheProtectionand EnforcementofCopyrightandRelatedRights,Tokyo, Japan,November5to9	
	7.NationalSeminaron theEnforcementofIPR, Phnom Penh,Cambodia,November22and23	
	8.WIPONationalSeminarontheRoleofIPinPromoting InnovationandEnhancingEnterpriseCompetitiveness, Kathmandu,Nepal,December10to11	

[TableIVfollows]

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEIV

ReportonWIPOAc tivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

CERTAINCOUNTRIESINEUROPEANDASIA

Year2001	Year20 02
1.WIPO/ISESCO ¹⁵ ConferenceonIntellectualProperty,Baku, Azerbaijan,May21to23	1.NationalWorkshopontheRoleofCustoms,Police,Antimonopolyand theJudiciaryinEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Moscow, RussianFederation,
2.SeminaronEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,Mangalia, Romania, June6to8	February6and7 2.NationalSeminarfortheJudiciaryonEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRights,incooperationwiththeCoalitionforIntellectual PropertyRights(C IPR),Astana,Kazakhstan,April 17and18
3.RegionalSeminaronIntellectualPropertyandInformation Technology,Moscow,RussianFederation,July 10to12	3.SeminarforJudgesandLawEnforcementOfficialsincooperationwithCIPRandthe CommercialLa wDevelopmentProgramoftheUnitedStatesDepartmentofCommerce, Kiev,Ukraine,April 22and23
4.NationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRightsfor theJudiciary,Minsk,Belarus,November28to29	

[TableVfollows]

¹⁵

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEV

ReportonWI POActivitiesinFavorofDevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

LATINAMERICAANDTHECARIBBEAN

Year2000	Year20 01	Year2002
1.WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty:	1. WIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty,	1.WIPONationalSeminaronCopyright andRelated
-Quito,Ecuador,July3and4	LaPaz,Bolivia,February21and22	RightsforJudgesandProsecutors,SantiagodeVeragua,
-Guayaquil,Ecuador,July6and7		Panama,March6to8
2.WIPONationalSeminaronSubstantiveandProcedural	2.ExpertMissionsontheEstablishmentof anAnti -piracy	2.StudyVisitbyaColombianCustomsOfficialtothe
AspectsofIntellectualProperty,Bogota,Colombia,	DeviceSystem	CustomsOfficesofSpain,Madrid,April22to26,
July13and14	-Barbados,February26and27	andPanama,PanamaCity,May 20to31
	-Jamaica,March1and2	
	-TrinidadandTobago,March5to9	
3.VIIWIPORegionalAcademicCourseonCopyright	3.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectual	3. Training Course on Enforcement of Copyright,
andRelatedRightsforLatinAmericanCountries,	PropertyRightsforPoliceandCustomsOffi cials:	MexicoCity,Mexico,May20to31
SanJose, CostaRica, August 28 to September 5	-PortofSpain,TrinidadandTobago,March22and23;	
	-Kingston,Jamaica,March26and27	
4.WIPONationalRovingSeminaronEnforcementof	4.WIPONationalSeminaronTechnologicalMeasuresof	4.NationalSeminarontheNewWIPOInternetTreaties
IntellectualPropertyRi ghtsforJudges,Prosecutors,	Protectioninthe1996WIPOCopyrightTreatiesandi nthe	andDigitalTechnology,SãoPaulo,Brazil,
CustomsandPoliceOfficers:	NewColombianPenalCode,Bogota,Colombia,	June12and13
-Asuncion,Paraguay,September18and19	April25to27	
-CiudaddelEste,Paraguay,September21and22		
5.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementof	5. WIPO/WorldCustomsOrganization(WCO)Training	
IntellectualPropertyRightsforJu dges,Managua,	SessionsonEnforcementofIntellectualPropertyRights,	
Nicaragua,November13and14	Bridgetown,Barbados,June5to7	
6.XXWIPOSeminaronIndustrialPropertyforLatin	6.IIIWIPONationalSeminaronIntellectualProperty	
AmericanCountri es,RiodeJaneiro,Brazil,	Rights, Mardel Plata, Argentina, June 7 to 9	
November28toDecember1		
	7.WIPO/SIECA ¹⁶ NationalSeminaronEnforcementof	
	IntellectualPropertyRightsforJudgesandPros ecutors,	
	PanamaCity,Panama,June25to26	

¹⁶

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TableV,page2

Report on WIPO Activities in Favor of Developing Countries and Countries in TransitionfromJuly2000toJune2002 with Regard to Training, Technical Assistance and Awareness Building in the Field of Enforcement LATINAMERICAANDTHECARIBBEAN(continued) Year2000 Year2001 Year2002 8. WIPOIntellectualPropertySymposiumfortheJudiciary oftheEasternCaribbeanSupremeCourt,GrosIslet, St.Lucia.June28and29 9.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminar ontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-Tegucigalpa, Honduras, July 2 and 3 -SanPedroSula,July4 10.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminarontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-GuatemalaCity,Guatemala,August23and24; -SanSalvador, ElSalvador, August 27 and 28 11.WIPO/SIECANationalSeminarontheEnforcementof Intellectual Property Rights for Judges and Prosecutors:-SanJosé, CostaRica, September 3 and 4; -Manag ua, Nicaragua, September 6 and 7 12. WIPOIntroductoryCourseonCopyrightandRelated RightsforJudges,SantoDomingo,DominicanRepublic, September19to22 13.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofIntellectual PropertyRightsforJudgesandPr osecutors,MexicoCity, Mexico,October25and26 14.WIPONationalSeminaronEnforcementofCopyright andRelatedRightsforJudgesandProsecutors, Montevideo, Uruguay, November 26 and 27

[TableVIfollows]

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TABLEVI

ReportonWIPOActivitiesinFavorof DevelopingCountriesandCountriesinTransition fromJuly2000toJune2002 withRegardtoTraining,TechnicalAssistanceandAwarenessBuildingintheFieldofEnforcement

LEAST-DEVELOPEDCOUNTRIES

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.WIPOArabWor kshoponIntellectualPropertyfor Least-DevelopedCountries(LDCs),Khartoum,Sudan, October 23to25	1.WIPOHigh -LevelInterregionalRoundtableon IntellectualPropertyforLDCs,Lisbon,Portugal, February 1and2	1.JointWIPO -WTOWorkshoponImplement ationofthe TRIPSAgreementonLDCs ¹⁷ ,Dar es Salaam, UnitedRepublicofTanzania,April 22to25
2.WIPOAfricanRegionalSeminaronModernizationof theIntellectualPropertySystemforLDCs,Kampala, Uganda,December 18to20	2.InteractiveThematicS essiononIntellectualProperty andDevelopment,intheFrameworkoftheThirdUnited NationsConferenceonLDCs,Brussels,Belgium, May 14to20	

[TableVIIfollows]

¹⁷

WIPO/CME/2Rev. TableVII

$Report on WIPO Activities in Favor of Developing Countries and Countries in Transiti \\ from July 2000 to June 2002 \\ with Regard to Training, Technical Assistance and Awareness Building in the Field of Enforcement$

WIPOWORLDWIDEACADEMY

Year2000	Year2001	Year2002
1.AdvancedTrainingCourseonCopyrightandRelated Rights,Gene va,Stockholm,Sweden,August17to29	1.WIPOAcademyonIntellectualProperty,General Session,Geneva,March26to30	1.AcademyonIntellectualPropertyandDevelopment, Khartoum,Sudan,January27to31
2.Post -GraduateCourseonIntellectualPropert y,Turin, Italy,September4toNovember30	2.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty RightsforJudgesofContinentalLawJurisdiction, ParisandGeneva,May14to22	2.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty Rights,Arlington,USA,May 20to24
3.AcademyforCountriesinTransition, GeneralSession, Geneva,October9to13	3.InterregionalIntermediateSeminaronIntellectual Property,Geneva,June5to8	3.AcademyonIntellectualPropertyandDevelopment, Singapore,June25to28
4.SymposiumonCopyrightandRelatedRights, Washington,USA,October30toNovember3	4.WIPOAcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty Rights,BeijingandShanghai,China,June	
5.AcademyonEnforcementofIntellectualProperty Rights,Arlington, USA,November13and14	5.SummerSchool,Geneva,July2toAugust10	
	6.TrainingCourseonCopyrightandRelatedRights, Stockholm,Sweden,August20to30	
	7.Post -graduateSpecializationCourseonIntellectual Property,Turin,Italy,September3 toNovember30	
	8.Legal,EconomicandAdministrativeAspectsof IntellectualProperty,Madrid,Spain,October8to19	
	9.WIPO/SGAE ¹⁸ RegionalAcademicCourseon CopyrightandRelatedRightsforLatinAmerican Countries, SantaCruz,Bolivia,October 8to16	
	10.CourseonLegal,EconomicandAdministrative AspectsofIntellectualProperty,Strasbourg,France, September17toOctober5	
	11.WIPO -USPTOAcademyonEnforcement,Arlington, USA,October22to26	
	12.InterregionalIntermediateSemina ronCopyrightand RelatedRights,Geneva,November21to23	

[EndofTableVIIandofdocument]