



WIPO/CME/5
ORIGINAL:English

**DATE:**September13,2002

## WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION

**GENEVA** 

## CONSULTATIONMEETING ONENFORCEMENT

Geneva, September 11 to 13,2002

**CONCLUSIONSBYTHECHAIR** 

adoptedbytheConsultationMeeting

- 1. TheConsultationMeetingonEnforcement(hereinafterreferredtoasthe"Meeting") unanimouslyagreedthattheissueofenforcementofintellectualpropertyrightsisofgreat importanceandalsoagreedthattheWorldIntellectualPropertyOrgan ization(WIPO)isinan eminentlyappropriatepositiontoprovidetechnicalassistanceandeducationandcontributeto thecreationofawarenessinthisfieldandtoassist,andcoordinatewith,relevant organizations,inthesettingupof, orimproving, adequateandeffectiveenforcement mechanisms.
- 2. The Meeting noted the concerns expressed about the effects of counterfeiting and piracy on domestic industries and stressed that the fight against these pheno menawas a global concern.
- 3. TheMeetingwelcomedtheestablishmentofanElectronicForumonIntellectual PropertyEnforcementIssuesandStrategies(WIPO/CME/INF/1Rev.).TheMeetingstressed thattheInternationalBureaumustensurethatal lbeneficiariesshouldbeinapositionto participateintheForumregardlessoftheirsituationinthefieldofelectroniccommunications and also that these rvices of the existing WIPO electronic facilities should be appropriately used for the Forum.

## WIPO/CME/5 page 2

- 4. TheMeetingtooknoteofthedocumententitled Existing Needs for Training and forDevelopmentofEnforcementStrategies (WIPO/CME/2Rev.)andtheparticipantsmadea numberofsuggestionsconcerningthecontentsofthedocumentandontheacti International Bureau in this context. The Meeting expressed its great appreciation for the considerableandhigh -qualityworkalreadyundertakenbytheRegionalBureausof CooperationforDevelopmentandtheWIPOWorldWideAcademyinthefie ldof enforcement. Itwasalsoobservedthatthereisroomforimprovementindetermining the recipients of the WIPO technical assistance and the substance of such assistance. Furthermore, the view was expressed that member States should submit a list of training programs carried out by national governments or regional or international organizations otherthanWIPO.
- 5. The Secretariat stated that the issues dealt within the document and the suggestions made would be taken into consideration in the designing of development cooperation activities. The Secretariat furthermore noted the offers for assistance and contributions made by, *interalia*, representatives of various international non -governmental organizations in the course of the meeting and invited those organizations to contact WIPO about the concrete form of such contributions.
- The documententitled Synthesis of Issues Concerning Difficulties and Practices in the 6. FieldofEnforcement (WIPO/CME/3)waswelcome dandmuchappreciationwasexpressed forthesynthesismade. It was noted, however, that only a limited number of States and organizationshadrepliedtothequestionnaireformingthebasisforthedocument. The MeetingthereforeencouragedthoseStates andorganizationsthathadnotalreadydonesoto submit,beforeJanuary15,2003,repliesandinformationtoWIPO concerningthisdocument and also, where appropriate, to submit information andthedocumentmentionedunder4., about the amounts of damage s and about the awarding of criminal sentences inintellectual property cases in the member States. The information may be put on the Electronic Forum, together with the replies already submitted, when so requested. The Meeting also agreed thatitwasim portanttoensurethattheissuesdealtwithinthedocumentandduringthediscussion onthatdocumentwouldbetakenintoaccountindesigningthedevelopmentcooperation activities of WIPO.
- 7. Ontheunderstandingthatadecisionontheissue concerningthefuturestructureofthe committeeonenforcementwillbedecidedbytheAssembliesoftheMemberStatesofWIPO andontheunderstandingthatseveraldelegationsdonotpresentlyhaveinstructionsonthe issue,thefollowingtentativeconc lusionscouldbedrawn.
- (a) TheMeetingstated asitsopinion thata nintergovernmental structureshouldbeset upwithinWIPOtoensureanappropriatecontinuedactioninthefieldofenforcementbythe Organization;
- (b) Strongpreferencewasexpressedthatthi sstructurewouldincludeasingle committee, covering both industrial property rights and copyright and related rights, in charge of global enforcement issues;
- (c) Inparticul ar, that committee could serve as a forum for exchange of information and appropriate coordination of activities in this field and cooperation in the fight against counterfeiting and piracy;

## WIPO/CME/5 page 3

- (d) Somedelegationss uggestedthatthecommitteecouldconsidertheelaboration of goodorbestpractices in the field of enforcement. Furthermore, some delegations suggested that a Model Lawon Enforcements hould be elaborated. One delegation suggested that the issue of enforcement could also be drawn to the attention of the Policy Advisory Commission (PAC);
- (e) Asregardstheformofthat single committee, different views were expressed. Certain delegations supported the creation of an advisory committ ee as suggested by the Secretariatindocument WO/GA/28/4 to be discussed at the Assemblies of the Member States of WIPO. Certain other delegations supported the setting upof a permanent committee as proposed by the Delegation of the United States of America;
- (f) Theviewwasexpressedbyanumberofdelegationsthatthereshouldbeafocal pointintheInternationalBureauresponsibleforthecoordinationoftheenforcementactivities whichwouldalsoserveatensuringcontactswiththecommitteem entionedunder 7(b)above.
- $8. \quad The Secretariatin formed that the next meeting onen force ment is sue swould tentatively beheld from May 26 to 28,2003.$
- 9. The delegatesof memberStates andrepresentativesofcertainorganizations attending theMeetingrequestedtheSecretariattosubmittheConclusionsbytheChairtothe forthcomingAssembliesoftheMemberStatesofWIPO.

[Endofdocument]