

BUREAUX INTERNATIONAUX
RÉUNIS POUR LA PROTECTION
DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE
GENÈVE, SUISSE

BIRPI

UNITED INTERNATIONAL
BUREAUX FOR THE PROTECTION
OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
GENEVA, SWITZERLAND

COMITE DE COORDINATION INTERUNIONS, QUATRIEME SESSION INTERUNION COORDINATION COMMITTEE, FOURTH SESSION

(Genève, 26-29 septembre 1966)

(Geneva, September 26 to 29, 1966)

REPORT

Composition and Opening of the Session

1. The Fourth Ordinary Session of the Interunion Coordination Committee (hereinafter designated as "the Committee") was held at Geneva from September 26 to 29, 1966.

2. At the opening of the Session, the Committee had twenty-three members. Eighteen were represented: Belgium, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, France, Germany (Federal Republic), Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Rumania, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom, United States of America, Yugoslavia. Five were not represented: Brazil, Ceylon, Morocco, Nigeria, Portugal.

3. In the course of the Session, Mexico, having become a member of the Executive Committee of the International (Paris) Union for the Protection of Industrial Property, has become the twenty-fourth member of the Committee. It was represented.

4. The following ten States were represented by observers: Algeria, Australia, Austria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Greece, Poland, San Marino, Thailand, United Arab Republic, Viet-Nam.

5. The list of participants is attached to this report.

6. The meeting was opened by the Chairman of the last Session, Mr. G. Talamo Atenolfi (Italy).

Election of the New Officers

7. On the proposal of Mr. Labry (France), the Committee elected by acclamation the following delegates as Officers: Mr. C. J. de Haan (Netherlands), Chairman, and Messrs. K. Haertel (Germany (Federal Republic)) and I. Anghel (Rumania), Vice-Chairmen.

Adoption of the Agenda

8. The Committee unanimously adopted the agenda of the Session, as contained in document CCIU/IV/1 Rev.

Financial Report of BIRPI for the Year 1965

9. The Committee approved unanimously, and without discussion, the report of the Director of BIRPI on certain financial questions concerning the year 1965, as contained in document CCIU/IV/4.

Proposed Changes in the Budget for 1966

10. The Committee expressed, without discussion, its unanimous agreement with, and its favorable opinion on, the suggestions concerning certain financial questions, as contained in document CCIU/IV/10.

Staff Matters

11. This item was discussed on the basis of documents CCIU/IV/6, 6 Addendum, and 9.

12. As to document CCIU/IV/6, and with the exception noted in paragraph (f) below, the following unanimous decisions were taken:

- (a) Paragraphs 1 and 2 concerning the number and geographic distribution of the staff were noted without comment.
- (b) Paragraph 3 concerning the integration of the staff into the "common system" was noted without comment.
- (c) Paragraphs 4 to 8 concerning the introduction of the "gross" system and the adjustment of the contributions of BIRPI and the staff to that system were noted with approval.
- (d) Paragraph 9 concerning the Classification Committee was noted without comment.
- (e) Paragraphs 10 to 16 did not require a decision. The Swiss Delegation stated the reasons which had prompted

the Swiss Government to make its decision in respect of the salaries of the Director and Deputy Directors. The Committee noted the conditions, reported on in paragraph 16 of document CCIU/IV/6, under which the decision of the Swiss Government had been taken.

- (f) Paragraphs 25 to 28 proposing the raising of the age-limit for certain children's allowances were put to a vote, which gave the following result: 5 for, 5 against, and 6 abstentions.
- (g) Paragraphs 29 to 32 concerning cost-of-living allowances for retired staff members were noted with approval.

13. Document CCIU/IV/6 Add. contained a suggestion for the retention of Mr. Chv-L. Magnin, Deputy Director, in active service for a period not extending beyond December 31, 1968. The Committee expressed, by acclamation, its approval of the suggestion.

14. (a) Document CCIU/IV/9 asked for the expression of an opinion on the Director's intention to accord to Mr. Ross Woodley the grade of D/1. A favorable opinion was unanimously expressed by the Committee.

(b) In the course of the discussion on this matter, Mr. Anghel (Rumania) expressed the opinion that the post in question was a new post and that the staff rules would be violated if it was not filled after the announcement of a vacancy and an open competition.

(c) Mr. Artemiev (USSR) expressed his agreement with the opinion of Mr. Anghel.

(d) The Director of BIRPI explained that, in his view, the post was not a new one, but that the question was that of a promotion and the separation of certain tasks from Mr. Woodley's present post, and of inviting applications for a grade P/5 post which would carry with it the duties thus separated.

(e) Mr. Winter (United States) expressed his agreement with the Director's interpretation of the staff rules.

(f) The Chairman noted the tacit approval of this interpretation by the Committee.

(g) On a question from Mr. Morf (Switzerland), Dr. Bogsch (BIRPI) said that the increase in salary which would follow from Mr. Woodley's promotion could be absorbed by the proposed budget.

Technical and Administrative Cooperation between the Union
for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants and the
other Unions administered by BIRPI

15. The discussion was based on document CCIU/IV/7.

16. After a discussion in which participated Mr. Grant (United Kingdom), Mr. Labry (France), Mr. Talamo (Italy), Mr. Phaf (Netherlands), Mr. Anghel (Rumania), Mr. Morf (Switzerland), and the Director of BIRPI, the Committee--subject to the Delegation of the Netherlands reserving its position--unanimously agreed on the following principles and procedure:

- (a) The autonomy of the Plant Union must be entirely preserved as far as all matters concerning the protection of new varieties of plants are concerned.
- (b) The administration of the Plant Union--unless completely separate--should be under one direction, namely that of BIRPI, as the Director of BIRPI must remain master in his own house.
- (c) Should the Director of BIRPI wish to be assisted by provisional advice before the next meeting of the Interunion Coordination Committee, he will convene a group consisting of representatives of Germany (Federal Republic), Switzerland, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America.
- (d) If final decisions have to be reached before the next Ordinary Session of the Interunion Coordination Committee, scheduled for December 1967, an Extraordinary Session of that Committee will be convened before the Director of BIRPI accepts any final arrangement.

Report on the Activities of BIRPI since October 1965

17. The discussion was based on documents CCIU/IV/3 and CCIU/IV/8.

18. (a) In connection with paragraphs 56 to 58 of document CCIU/IV/3 dealing with UNESCO's plan for a possible African copyright convention, Mr. Rohmer (France) asked whether the Director of BIRPI had taken or would take any steps. He said that a plan of this kind might have serious repercussions on the Stockholm Conference.

(b) The Director of BIRPI replied that he had written to the Director-General of UNESCO concerning the non-application of the working agreement between BIRPI and UNESCO, a working agreement which called for consultation before any new action of possible common interest. Such consultation had not been initiated by UNESCO although the Berne Convention had fifteen African member States (as against five for the Universal Copyright Convention administered by UNESCO) and any African convention would therefore affect the Berne Convention. The Director-General of UNESCO had replied that the proposal had the endorsement of the Executive Board of UNESCO and would go before the next Session of UNESCO's General Conference.

(c) Mr. de Sanctis (Italy) expressed the view that an African convention would not necessarily harm the Berne Convention because of the safeguard clauses written into both the Berne and Universal Conventions.

(d) Mr. Kordač (Czechoslovakia) said that UNESCO's initiative was not necessarily in contradiction with universality. African countries which were not party to either the Universal Copyright Convention or the Berne Convention might need the African convention envisaged by UNESCO.

(e) Mr. Phaf (Netherlands) said that the lack of preliminary consultation by UNESCO was a serious case of non-application of the BIRPI-UNESCO working agreement.

19. (a) In connection with document CCIU/IV/8, concerning cooperation with the United Nations, Mr. Anghel (Rumania) expressed the view that BIRPI should take the necessary steps to improve the international protection of industrial property in order to facilitate the transfer of technology, and should study more thoroughly means to contribute to this program of the United Nations.

(b) The Director of BIRPI replied that the task was divided between the United Nations and BIRPI. The former dealt with the technological and economic aspects, and BIRPI with the legal and administrative aspects related to industrial property. Thus, for example, the model laws, seminars, and fellowships, sponsored by BIRPI, were intended to create better legal and administrative conditions for the transfer of technology by industrialized countries to developing countries.

20. (a) Mr. Brenner (United States of America) expressed appreciation to BIRPI for having invited the United States to the recent meetings of the Madrid Union (International Registration of Trademarks) and for furnishing advice and information in connection with the study by the United States Patent Office of the question of the adherence of the United States to the Madrid Union.

(b) The Chairman said that such adherence would be of the utmost importance to all concerned

Program and Budget of BIRPI for 1966

21. This item was discussed on the basis of document CCIU/IV/5, and in the light of the discussion which had taken place, as far as the program and budget of the Paris Union were concerned, in the Executive Committee of that Union.

22. The Director of BIRPI referred to the reasons, explained in detail in the document under consideration, for the deficits budgeted for 1967 in the case of the Berne and Hague Unions. As far as the Berne Union was concerned, the deficit could be eliminated only if the Copyright Seminar and the Copyright Training Course, both designed to assist developing countries, were taken out of the program. He would not like to do that. As far as the Hague Union was concerned, the deficit was caused by the fact of the registration fees being too low. He intended to ask for these fees to be increased at the Stockholm Conference.

23. On a question from Mr. Grant (United Kingdom), the Director of BIRPI said that he had asked, by circular letter addressed to the member States, whether they desired the holding of a diplomatic conference for the conclusion of a special agreement on the protection of type faces. Only a few replies had been received, and they were mostly negative. Consequently, the plan for a treaty on the subject was abandoned for the time being.

24. (a) Mr. Mansingh (India) said that the number of traineeships awarded in the field of industrial property appeared to be far larger than in the field of copyright. His country would welcome more fellowships and of a longer duration in the field of copyright. These fellowships would be useful for the developing countries for studying the working of authors' societies, performers' rights societies, etc., in developed countries. He would regret it if the Copyright Seminar and Training Course were struck from the program.

(b) The Director of BIRPI pointed out the difficulties of organizing training in the field of copyright in view of the fact that only very few countries had a Government Office specialized in copyright questions. Perhaps after the Training Course, and in collaboration with certain authors' societies, it might become possible to organize meaningful fellowships in the field of copyright.

25. (a) Mr. Voyame (Switzerland) said that one should not wait for the increase in the registration fees of the Hague Union but proceed urgently in accordance with the procedure provided for in Article 3 of the Additional Act of Monaco of 1961.

(b) Mr. Phaf (Netherlands) agreed with Mr. Voyame.

(c) Mr. Labry (France) expressed his agreement with Messrs. Voyame and Phaf and suggested that the fees should be raised without delay.

(d) The Director of BIRPI said that he was ready to proceed with the suggestions of the Delegations of Switzerland, the Netherlands, and France, as soon as it became technically possible--probably before the end of the current year (1966).

(e) The Committee noted with approval the suggestion of Mr. Voyame.

26. (a) Mr. Voyame (Switzerland) said that the participation of the Madrid Union in the expenses of the "Direction" (55%) and in the expenses of the administrative reform part of the Stockholm Conference (ca. 57%) was excessive and out of proportion to the interest of the Madrid Union in these budgetary items. He realized that the application of these percentages was in conformity with the earlier decisions of the Committee, but urged that the percentages of the Madrid Union be revised and reduced at the beginning of 1968. The additional amounts which would become necessary on account of the lowering of the percentages of the Madrid Union would have to come from the other Unions.

(b) Messrs. Savignon (France), Talamo (Italy) and Anghel (Rumania), expressed agreement with the observations and suggestions of Mr. Voyame.

(c) The Director of BIRPI said that it had always been understood that the percentages in question would be re-examined after the Stockholm Conference. Evidently, a lowering of the percentages of the Madrid Union would necessarily lead to a substantial increase in the contributions of the member States of the Paris and Berne Unions. Proposals for such increases would be made to the Stockholm Conference or the 1967 Session of the Interunion Coordination Committee.

27. (a) The Director of BIRPI called to the attention of the Committee the proposal that the next Ordinary Session of the Committee take place not in September (as the rules would require) but in December 1967. This would be an exceptional case justified by the need to have a few months between the Stockholm Conference (scheduled to end on July 14, 1967) and the next Session of the Committee.

(b) The Committee noted with approval the Director's communication.

28. Subject to paragraph 25, above, the Committee unanimously expressed a favorable view on the plans and proposals contained in document CCIU/IV/5.

Adoption of the present Report

29. In its closing meeting held on September 29, 1966, the Committee unanimously adopted the present report.

[End of document CCIU/IV/13]

A N N E X

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

I. STATES MEMBERS OF THE INTERUNION COORDINATION COMMITTEE

BELGIUM

Mr. Gérard Lambert DE SAN, Director General, Legal Counsellor to the Ministry of National Education and Culture, Brussels

CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Mr. František KRISTEK, President, Office of Patents and Inventions, Prague

Mr. Oldřich FABIÁN, Second Secretary, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Prague

Mr. Jiri KORDAČ, Counsellor, Ministry of Education and Culture, Office of Patents and Inventions, Prague

Mr. Joseph CONK, Counsellor, Department for Legislation and International Relations, Prague

Mr. Miloš VŠETEČKA, Chief of the Legal and International Division, Office of Patents and Inventions, Prague

DENMARK

Mr. Torben LUND, Professor at the University of Aarhus, President of the Governmental Copyright Committee, Risskov

FRANCE

Mr. François SAVIGNON, Deputy Director of Industrial Property, National Institute of Industrial Property, Paris

Mr. Roger LABRY, Counsellor of Embassy, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Paris

Mr. Charles ROHMER, Head, Copyright Service, Ministry of Cultural Affairs, Paris

GERMANY (Federal Republic)

- Dr. Kurt HAERTEL, President, German Patent Office, Munich
- Mr. Albrecht KRIEGER, Ministerialrat, Federal Ministry of Justice
Bonn
- Mr. Klaus PFANNER, Director, Legal Division, German Patent Office,
Munich

HUNGARY

- Mr. András KISS, Vice-President, National Office of Inventions,
Budapest
- Mr. Róbert RADNÓTI, Head, International Group, National Office of
Inventions, Budapest

INDIA

- Mr. Lalit MANSINGH, Third Secretary, Permanent Mission of India
to the United Nations Office and to other International
Organizations in Geneva, Geneva

ITALY

- Mr. Giuseppe TALAMO ATENOLFI, Ambassador, Ministry of Foreign
Affairs, Rome
- Mr. Valerio DE SANCTIS, Lawyer, Rome
- Mr. Paul MARCHETTI, Expert, Ministry of Industry, Patent Office,
Rome
- Mr. Giuseppe TROTTA, Legal Advisor, Ministry of Foreign Affairs,
Rome
- Mr. Alberto Mario FERRARI, Doctor-at-Law, Milan
- Mr. Roberto MESSEROTTI-BENVENUTI, Lawyer, Milan

JAPAN

- Mr. Jinbo BENKICHI, Director of the Trial Division, Patent Office,
Tokyo
- Mr. Kenshiro AKIMOTO, Third Secretary, Permanent Delegation of
Japan to the United Nations Office and to International Organi-
zations, Geneva

MEXICO

Miss Maria de los Angeles LOPEZ-ORTEGA, Second Secretary of Embassy, Permanent Delegation of Mexico to International Organizations in Geneva, Geneva

NETHERLANDS

Mr. C. J. DE HAAN, President of the Patent Council, The Hague
Mr. Willem M.J.C. PHAF, Director of the Legal Section, Ministry of Economic Affairs, The Hague

RUMANIA

Mr. Ion ANGHEL, Chief Legal Advisor, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Bucarest
Mr. Lucian MARINETE, Technical Director of the State Office of Inventions, Bucarest

SPAIN

Mr. Antonio FERNANDEZ-MAZARAMBROZ y MARTÍN-RABADAN, Chief, Industrial Property Registration Office, Madrid

SWEDEN

Mr. Åke VON ZWEIGBERGK, Director General, Patent Office, Stockholm
Mr. Claës A. UGGLA, Counsellor, Patent Office, Stockholm

SWITZERLAND

Mr. Hans MORF, Former Director of the Federal Office of Intellectual Property, Berne
Mr. Joseph VOYAME, Director, Federal Office of Intellectual Property, Berne
Mr. Rodolphe BÜHRER, Chief of the Diplomatic Section, Federal Political Department, Berne

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

Mr. E. J. ARTEMIEV, Vice-President, Committee on Inventions and Discoveries attached to the Council of Ministers of the USSR, Moscow
Mr. E. P. GAVRILOV, Senior Scientific Employee, Institute of Patent Information, Moscow

UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Mr. Gordon GRANT, C.B., Comptroller-General, Patent Office,
London

Mr. Ronald BOWEN, Principal Examiner, Patent Office, London

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Mr. Edward J. BRENNER, Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C.

Mr. Harvey J. WINTER, Assistant Chief, International Business
Practices Division, Department of State, Washington, D.C.

Mr. Gerald D. O'BRIEN, Assistant Commissioner of Patents,
Washington, D.C.

YUGOSLAVIA

Mr. Vladimir SAVIĆ, Director, Patent Office, Belgrade

II. OBSERVERS

ALGERIA

Mr. Salah BOUZIDI, Head of the Trademarks Office, Algiers

AUSTRALIA

Mr. Warwick Eduard WEEMAES, Third Secretary, Permanent Mission of
Australia to the United Nations Office in Geneva

AUSTRIA

Mr. Thomas LORENZ, Rassekretär, Patentamt, Bundesministerium für
Handel, Gewerbe und Industrie, Vienna

DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO

Mr. Victor NKOINZALE, Deputy Director, Ministry of Cultural
Affairs, Kinshasa-Kalina

Mr. Christophe KATUKU, Secretary to the Direction of Cultural
Affairs, Permanent Representative of the Congolese Govern-
ment to the Congolese Copyright Society, Kinshasa-Kalina

GREECE

Mr. Anastase IOANNOU, Advocate at the Court of Cassation,
Athens

POLAND

Mr. Ignacy CZERWINSKI, President of the Patent Office, Warsaw
Mrs. Natalie LISSOWSKA, Counsellor, Patent Office, Warsaw

SAN MARINO

Mr. Jean-Charles MUNGER, Chancellor, Permanent Delegation of
the Republic of San Marino to the United Nations Office,
Geneva

THAILAND

Mr. Pradeep SOCHIRATNA, Secretary, Embassy of Thailand, Berne

UNITED ARAB REPUBLIC

Mr. Mohamed Ibrahim SHAKER, Second Secretary, Permanent Mission
of the United Arab Republic to the United Nations Office and
Specialized Agencies, Geneva

VIET NAM

Mr. NGUYEN QUOC DINH, Permanent Delegate of the Republic of
Viet Nam to UNESCO, Paris

III. B.I.R.P.I.

Professor G. H. C. Bodenhausen, Director

Dr. Arpad BOGSCH, Deputy Director

Mr. Ch.-L. MAGNIN, Deputy Director

Mr. B. A. ARMSTRONG, Counsellor

IV. OFFICERS OF THE SESSION

Chairman: Mr. C. J. DE HAAN (Netherlands)
Vice-Chairman: Dr. Kurt HAERTEL (Federal Republic of Germany)
Vice-Chairman: Mr. Ion ANGHEL (Rumania)
Secretary: Dr. Arpad BOGSCH (Deputy Director, BIRPI)

.....