original: French
DATE: September 16

1967

BUREAUX INTERNATIONAUX
RÉUNIS POUR LA PROTECTION
DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE
GENÈVE, SUISSE

BIRPI

UNITED INTERNATIONAL
BUREAUX FOR THE PROTECTION
OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
GENEVA, SWITZERLAND

COMITÉ DE COORDINATION INTERUNIONS, CINQUIÈME SESSION INTERUNION COORDINATION COMMITTEE, FIFTH SESSION

(Genève, 18-21 décembre 1967) (Geneva, December 18 to 21, 1967)

REPORT BY THE DIRECTOR OF BIRPI ON CERTAIN FINANCIAL QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE YEAR 1966

Contents

	Para	igra	phs	
Introduction	1	to	6 .	
Allocation of the Expenditure for 1966 among the Unions	7	to	10	
The Hague Union Deficit	11	to	16	
Sums Posted to Profit and Loss		17		
Views of the Committee		18		

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Management Report of BIRPI for 1966 covers the management and financial position for the year 1966. It has already been distributed to Member States and should be considered an integral part of the present report.
- 2. This document contains details on the same lines as the corresponding document for the year 1965 (CCIU/IV/4).
- 3. There are three chapters in this document.
- 4. The first deals with the allocation of expenditure for the year 1966 among the six Unions (Paris, Madrid, The Hague, Nice, Lisbon and Berne).
- 5. The second contains details concerning the computation of the allocation of the Hague Union deficit for 1966 (20,611 Swiss francs) among the Member States of that Union. It should be noted, however, that this deficit, like those of 1963, 1964 and 1965 (20,643, 22,442 and 24,471 Swiss francs respectively), is covered by advances from the Swiss Government. The Member States of the Hague Union in fact decided not to ask that the deficit be covered by these States until it reached the amount of 200,000 Swiss francs (see document CCIU/I/8, paragraph 15(a)).
- 6. The third chapter consists of a statement of the amount (53 Swiss francs) posted to profit and loss in 1966.

ALLOCATION OF 1966 EXPENDITURE AMONG THE UNIONS

- 7. All expenditure is either specific to one Union or common to several Unions (see, however, Table B.15).
- 8. The Financial Rules of BIRPI define these two types of expenditure as follows: "Any expenditure incurred for the benefit of a given Union shall be considered to be a specific expenditure of that Union" (Article 7(a)). "Any expenditure incurred for the benefit of two or more Unions shall be considered to be a common expenditure" (Article 7(b)). As regards the share of each Union in the common expenditure, the Financial Regulations of BIRPI provide that "the shares shall be true and fair, based on the extent to which each Union is expected to benefit from the common expenditure" (Article 3(1)).
- 9. The principles, and the percentages themselves, of the allocation of common expenditure are the result of the "opinions" expressed in November 1963 by the Interunion Coordination Committee (Paris and Berne Unions), the Committee of Directors of National Industrial Property Offices (Madrid Union), and the Meeting of the Member States of the Hague Union, which opinions are based on the Report of the Financial Experts of the Paris, Berne, and Madrid Unions (hereinafter called the "Experts' Report," document CCIU/I/6).
- 10. There follows a recapitulation of the expenditure for the year 1966 grouped under two main headings:

CCIU/V/4
page 4

specific expenditure and common expenditure. Common expenditure is grouped, in turn, according to the allocation codes used. The basis of each allocation code is indicated, as well as the corresponding expenditure for the previous year (1965), in order to facilitate comparison.

A. Specific Expenditure

A. 1 Paris Union

Missions		2,172.10
Delegates' travel		
Committee of Experts on a Mo Law for Developing Countries Marks, Trade Names, Indicati of Source and Unfair Competi	on ons	
Expert for the World Patent	Index <u>1,808.50</u>	91,533.80
Conferences		
Committee of Experts on the International Classification of Industrial Designs	4,846.65	
Committee of Experts on a Mc Law for Developing Countries Marks, Trade Names, Indicati of Source and Unfair Competi	on	
Executive Committee	3,143.90	18,139.95
Translators		3,034.40
Printing (other than periodica	ls)	14,719.60
Furniture		4,887.40
Miscellaneous and unforeseen		more O time
Transfer to Reserve Fund		33,475.89
	Total in 1966: (3.47% of e	167,963.14 xpenditure)*
	(Total in 1965:	129,000

(3.13% of expenditure))

^{*} The calculation of this percentage is based on expenditure before the distribution to Member States of the Madrid Union and before the transfer to the Reserve Fund, i.e., on the amount of 4,837,979.49 (4,106,487.55 in 1965) francs.

CCIU/V/4 page 6

A. 2 Madrid Union

Missions	ew o mo
Delegates' travel (Conference of Directors)	25,255.30
Conferences (Conference of Directors)	11,324.60
Translators	322
Printing of periodical "Les Marques internationales"	384,752.42
Printing (other than periodicals)	205,274.04
Furniture	24,495.60
Material	14,860
Postage	51,179.35
Miscellaneous and unforeseen	*** * ***
Transfer to Reserve Fund	634,171.27
Distribution to Member States	147,000
Total in 1966: (30.97% of	1,498,634.58 expenditure)
(Total in 1965: (14.72% of	605,000 expenditure))

CCIU/V/4 page 7

A. 3 The Hague Union

Personnel	43,368.55
Conferences	wix g sem
Translators	501
Printing of periodical "Les Dessins et Modèles internationaux."	12,770.80
Printing (other than periodicals)	2,922.50
Furniture	no. 0 em.
Material	1,480
Postage	392.45
Miscellaneous and unforeseen	888.05
Total in 1966 (1.28% of	62,323.35 expenditure)
(Total in 1965 (1.50% of	62,000 expenditure))

CCIU/V/4 page 8

A. 4 Nice Union

Missions	753.40
Conferences	PA @ 103
Translators	437.50
Printing (other than periodicals)	23,395.50
Material	with 0 was
Transfer to Reserve Fund	76.52

Total in 1966: 24,662.92 (0.50% of expenditure)

(Total in 1965: 35,000.--(0.86% of expenditure))

A. 5 Lisbon Union

Conferences 1,429.---

Printing (other than periodicals) 527.--

Total in 1966: 1,956.-(0.04% of expenditure)

(Total in 1965: ---)

(The Lisbon Agreement came into force on September 25, 1966. The services rendered by BIRPI personnel during the period September 25 to December 31, 1966, not having reached the minimum of 1%, no "common expenditure" has been charged to this Union.)

CCIU/V/4 page 10

A. 6 Berne Union

Personnel		210,080.40
Missions		30,257.30
Delegates travel Hispano-American Semina	ar (Copyright)	11,878.95
Conferences Hispano-American Semina	ar (Copyright)	600
Contributors		4,681.30
Translators		11,023.40
Printing of periodicals		45,833.56
Printing (other than perio	dicals)	59,262.58
Furniture		PP 0 F0
Material		ere 6 mis
Postage		2,561.45
Miscellaneous and unforese	en	21,312.93*
Transfer to Reserve Fund		3,781.92
	Total in 1966: (8.29% of	
	(Total in 1965:	

^{*} This amount includes the sum resulting from the adjustment of the contributions of Bulgaria for the years 1948-1965. See Management Report 1966, page 5.

B. Common Expenditure

B. 1 "Director's Office" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	29%	(29%)
Madrid	55%	(55%)
The Hague	3%	(3%)
Nice	2%	(2%)
Berne	11%	(11%)

Basis: Opinion expressed in November 1963 by the Interunion Coordination Committee, the Committee of Directors of National Industrial Property Offices (Madrid Union) and the Meeting of the Hague Union (see document CCIU/I/21, paragraph 20(a)).

Application, in toto, to 647,858.15 francs (13.39% of expenditure) (in 1965: 595,000.-francs (14.48% of expenditure)):

- (a) Personnel (salaries of the Director, the two
 Deputy Directors, two secretaries, one Assistant,
 the Librarian and two assistants, as well as part
 of the salaries of three staff members whose time
 is divided between duties concerned with the
 Director's Office and duties concerned with the
 Industrial Property Division): 587,871.60
- (b) Missions: 55,189.85
- (c) Furniture 4,165.30
- (d) Translations: 631.40

B. 2 "Chancellery" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	15%	(9%)
Madrid	69%	(67%)
The Hague	6%	(4%)
Nice	1%	(1%)
Berne	9%	(19%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 16(c)) and Article 8(b) of the Financial Rules: the percentages have been calculated on the basis of the 1966 statistics.

Application, in toto, to 473,160.41 francs (9.78% of expenditure) (in 1965: 405,000. francs (9.86% of expenditure)):

- (a) Personnel: 303,844.10
- (b) Missions: 3,265.30
- (c) Printing (other than periodicals): 2,611.05
- (d) Furniture: 9,764.40
- (e) Material: 49,846.20
- (f) Postage, other than the postage for periodicals (see Experts' Report, paragraph 31): 103,829.36

B. 3 "Financial Service" Percentages 1966 (1965)(4%) 3% Paris (75%)82% Madrid 13% (19%)The Hague (1%) 1% Nice 1% (1%) Berne

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 16(b)) and Article 8(b) of the Financial Rules: the percentages have been calculated on the basis of the 1966 statistics.

Application, in toto, to 233,108.15 francs (4.82% of expenditure) (in 1965: 182,000.-- francs (4.43% of expenditure)):

- (a) Personnel: 221,647.45
- (b) Printing (other than periodicals): 2,272
- (c) Furniture: 7,578.50
- (d) Material: 1,100.--
- (e) Miscellaneous and unforeseen: 510.20

CCIU/V/4 page 14

B. 4 "Publications Service" Percentages 1966 (1965) Paris 37% (37%) Madrid 22% (22%) The Hague 2% (2%) Nice 1% (1%)

38%

(38%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 16(d)).

Application, in toto, to 181,090.40 francs (3.74% of expenditure) (in 1965: 137,000.-- francs (3.34% of expenditure)):

- (a) Personnel: 174,261.35
- (b) Missions: 770.60

Berne

- (c) Printing (other than periodicals): 3,035.35
- (d) Furniture: 3,023.10

B. 5 "Division of General Administrative Services and Registration Services" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	3%	(2%)
Madrid	82%	(82%)
The Hague	11%	(11%)
Nice	2%	(1%)
Berne	2%	(4%)

Basis: The Head of this Division supervised the two Registration Services and the "Chancellery." In proportion to the time he devoted to each of these services, the following composite code is applied: 70% "Trademarks," 10% "the Hague Union" and 20% "Chancellery."

Application, in toto, to 77,839.55 francs (1.60% of expenditure) (in 1965: 74,000.-francs (1.80% of expenditure)).

Personnel: 77,839.55

B. 6 "Finance and Personnel Division"

	Percentages	
	1966	(1965)
Paris	12%	(12%)
Madrid	70%	(68%)
The Hague	10%	(12%)
Nice	1%	(1%)
Berne	7%	(7%)

Basis: The time devoted by the Head of this Division and his Assistant to their various duties (½ "Entire Personnel" - 1965 percentage, because the 1966 percentage shows the position with both these officials included - and ½ "Financial Service").

Application, in toto, to 96,004.65 francs (1.98% of expenditure) (in 1965: 90,000.-- francs (2.19% of expenditure)):

Personnel: 96,004.65

B. 7 "General Services" Percentages 1966 (1965)Paris 22% (21%)Madrid 59% (57%)The Hague 5% (6%)Nice 1% (2%) Berne 13% (14%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 17).

Application, in toto, to 119,830.60 francs (2.47% of expenditure) (in 1965: 32,000.... francs (0.77% of expenditure)):

- (a) Delegates' travel in connection with the preparation of the Administrative Convention (Experts' Report, paragraph 22): 4,471.35
- (b) Conferences in connection with the general administration of BIRPI (fourth session of the Interunion Coordination Committee (3,493,95) (Experts' Report, paragraph 22) and "Administrative Convention" Committee of Experts (21,109.85) (Experts' Report, paragraph 22)): 24,603.80
- (c) Translators (translation of documents concerning the Administrative Convention (Experts' Report, paragraph 22)): 4,062.50
- (d) Printing of documents concerning the Administrative Convention (Experts' Report, paragraph 22): 85,926.95
- (e) Miscellaneous expenditure relating to a farewell reception given for the staff on the occasion of the retirement of a Counsellor: 766.

B. 8 "Surface" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	18%	(16%)
Madrid	61%	(61%)
The Hague	8%	(12%)
Nice	1%	(1%)
Berne	12%	(10%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 28)

Application, in toto, to 141,472.40 francs (2.92% of expenditure) (in 1965: 141,000.-- francs (3.43% of expenditure)):

- (a) Ground rent paid to the Republic and Canton of Geneva for the land on which the Headquarters
 Building is situated (Experts' Report, paragraph 29): 4,000.--
- (b) Heating and lighting of the Building: 19,914.25
- (c) Cleaning of the Building: 22,480.60
- (d) Fire insurance, etc. of the Building: 6,755.10
- (e) Maintenance work on the Building: 88,322.45

B. 9 "Entire Personnel" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	20%	(21%)
Madrid	58%	(59%)
The Hague	5%	(6%)
Nice	2%	(1%)
Berne	15%	(13%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 30, 32 and 34).

The percentages correspond to the proportion existing between the personnel expenses of the various Unions (see Management Report, Expenses, Headings 10-19).

Application, in toto, to 214,425.45 francs (4.43% of expenditure) (in 1965: 180,000.-- francs (4.38% of expenditure)):

- (a) Supplementary pension and other social benefits:
- (b) Delegates' travel: 2,606.75
- (c) Printing (other than periodicals): 11,171.30
- (d) Furniture: 10,524.60
- (e) Material: 16,008.20
- (f) Telephones: 38,335.40
- (g) Library: 14,011.10
- (h) Miscellaneous and unforeseen: 2,868.10

Note: The same percentages are applied to receipts from the letting of the holiday house (Chalet Erika, Zermat) and the sale of refreshments to the staff (5,207.50 francs).

B.10 "Industrial Property Division" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	80%	(88%)
Madrid	16%	(10%)
The Hague	2%	(1%)
Nice	2%	(1%)
Berne	0%	(0%)

Basis: The basis is the same as for 1965 (see document CCIU/IV/4, Table B.10), except that the percentage for the Madrid Union is higher (an additional 6%) as a result of the work caused by the entry into force of the Nice Act and the percentages for the Hague and Nice Unions are higher (1%), in accordance with the amount of work undertaken for these Unions by the staff of the Industrial Property Division. With respect to 1965, the percentage for the Paris Union consequently decreased in 1966 (8%).

Application, in toto, to 259,621.40 francs (5.36% of expenditure) (in 1965: 216,000.--- francs (5.25% of expenditure)):

Personnel: (salaries of four staff members of the Industrial Property Division for the entire 1966 year, of one staff member for four months and of one staff member for two months, as well as part of the salaries of three staff members who divided their time between the work of the Industrial Property Division and that of the Director's Office): 259,621.40.

B.11 "Industrial Unions (Missions and Conferences)" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	80%	(85%)
Madrid	16%	(10%)
The Hague	2%	(3%)
Nice	2%	(2%)
Berne	0%	(0%)

- Basis: Experts' Report (paragraphs 19 to 21). The Paris
 Union percentage has been reduced by 5%. The Madrid
 Union percentage has been increased by 6% while the
 Hague Union percentage has been reduced by 1%. This
 increase in the percentage of the Madrid Union corresponds to the increase in the amount of work undertaken for this Union in 1966.
- Application, in toto, to 88,264.85 francs (1.82% of expenditure) (in 1965: 227,000.-- francs (5.52% of expenditure)):
- (a) Missions carried out in the interests of the Industrial Unions (in particular: the preparations for and holding of the East/West Industrial Property Symposium at Budapest; various congresses and committees: ICC, Council of Europe, International Olive Oil Council, etc., as well as the East/West Symposium at Munich): 20,330.20
- (b) Delegates' travel (East/West Industrial Property symposium at Budapest (4,258...) and the trainees in industrial property (38,503...) (1965: 46,626.40)): 42.761...
- (c) Conferences (East/West Industrial Property Symposium at Budapest): 25,173.65.

B.12 "Industrial Unions (Printing)" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	84%	(75%)
Madrid	13%	(18%)
The Hague	3%	(5%)
Nice	0%	(2%)
Berne	0%	(0%)

Basis: Experts' Report (paragraph 23) and the contents of publications referred to in paragraph 24 of the said Report.

Application, in toto, to 95,400.82 francs (1.97% of expenditure) (in 1965: 108,000. francs (2.62% of expenditure)):

- (a) Contributors (mainly to the reviews "La Propriété industrielle" and "Industrial Property"): 4,452.65
- (b) Translators: 13,046.35
- (c) Printing (periodicals): 55,184.02
- (d) Printing (other than periodicals): 16,847.90
- (e) Postage of the reviews "La Propriété industrielle" and "Industrial Property": 5,869.90

Note: The same percentages are applied to receipts from the sales of the reviews, "La Propriété industrielle" and "Industrial Property" (94,766.68 francs) and the sale of advertising space for these reviews (20,516.25 francs). Every other receipt has been credited to the Union concerned, with the exception of the sum of 43,603.35 francs reserved for the improvement of the Conference Room of the BIRPI Headquarters Building (see Chapter B.15, below).

B.13 "Trademark Service" Percentages

	1966	(1965)
Paris	0%	(0%)
Madrid	98%	(98%)
The Hague	0%	(0%)
Nice	2%	(2%)
Berne	0%	(0%)

Basis: The basis is the same as in 1965 (see CCIU/IV/4 Table B.13).

Application, in toto, to 564,779.75 francs (11.67% of expenditure) (in 1965: 497,000.-- francs (12.10% of expenditure)):

Personnel: 564,779.75

CCIU/V/4 page 24

B.14 Publications (other than periodicals)

The following publications come under this heading:

General Information booklets on BIRPI (Russian edition) (Paris 43%, Madrid 17%, The Hague 9%, Nice 9%, Berne 22%)

3,059.50

Management Report for 1965 (Paris 32%, Madrid 23%, The Hague 10%, Nice 13%, Berne 22%)

6,005 .--

Total in 1966: 9,064.50 (0.18% of expenditure)

Total in 1965: 17,000.--(0.41% of expenditure))

The above percentages correspond to the number of pages concerning each Union in these publications.

B.15 Improvement of the Conference Room

This account was opened in 1963 at the time of the settlement of the accounts and had been entirely exhausted at December 31, 1965. The balance of 86,710.75 francs for the improvement work in 1966 (expenditure: 130,314.10 minus receipts from rent for the fourth floor of the Building: 43,603.35 francs) was charged to the BIRPI budget. This amount of 86,710.75 francs was divided up, in accordance with the provisions of document CCIU/I/14, add.1, paragraph 5(ii) as approved by the Coordination Committee in 1965 (CCIU/III/4 and 11), that is, in the same proportion that the Unions contributed to the settlement of the initial cost of the Building.

The cost of the improvements made to the Conference Room amounted to 463,915.30 francs. The estimate, according to document CCIU/III/4, Chapter 12 was 468,000.-- francs.

B.16 Amortization of the Construction Costs of the BIRPI Headquarters Building

According to the amortization plan, 5% of the capital (and of the interest on this capital) must be paid each year by the Unions which have not yet repaid the advances granted by the Pension Fund. Each Union's share and the amortization plan have been approved by the Interunion Coordination Committee (see, in particular, document CCIU/I/8). The following payments have been made:

	1966	(1965)
Paris Union	27,665	(28,367.85)
The Hague Union	17,142.50	(17,577.20)
Berne Union	14,742.50	(15,116.30)
Total:	59,550	(61,061.35)

The total corresponds to 1.23% of expenditure in 1966 (1.48% in 1965).

THE HAGUE UNION DEFICIT

11. The Hague Union deficit amounted to 20,611.44 francs in 1966. In accordance with the decisions of the Meeting of Member States of the Hague Union in 1963 (see document DM/26/5, paragraph 21 and document CCIU/I/8, paragraph 15(a)), this deficit is provisionally covered by advances, without interest, granted by the Swiss Government. If the future profits of the Hague Union do not allow these advances to be repaid, the deficits will be apportioned among the Member States of the Hague Union in proportion to "the number of deposits coming from each of these States. However, the number of deposits originating in countries not party to the Additional Act of Monaco (which raised some of the fees by 400% and others by 500%) will be multiplied by four or five (as the case may be) for the purposes of computing the apportionment" (document CCIU/I/8, paragraph 15(a)).

12. Tables Al, A2 and A3 below show the apportionment of that part of the deficit which is due to the fact that some countries had still not acceded to the Additional Act of Monaco before the beginning of the year 1966. The results of these Tables are as follows:

Liechtenstein 930.-Morocco 200.-Spain 4,130.-Tunisia 120.--

These sums amount to a total of 5,380. francs (26.1% of the total deficit of 20,611.44 francs).

- 13. Table B shows the apportionment of the balance (15,231.44 francs) of the deficit among all the Member States of the Hague Union, in proportion to the deposits and prolongations registered.
- 14. By adding the results of Tables A3 and B, the States parties to the Agreement would be debited with the following amounts for the year 1966:

Country	<u>A3</u>	B	Total
Belgium	ve • e	959.61	959.61
France	*** 0 ***	4,656.93	4,656.93
Germany	600 g 460	3,499.75	3,499.75
Holy See		bird o tire	Fire g 44.4
Indonesia	en o ra	end [©] p. 1	tot a coa
Liechtenstein	930	169.34	1,099.34
Monaco		37.63	37.63
Morocco	200	4.70	204.70
Netherlands		399.84	399.84
Spain	4,130	385.72	4,515.72
Switzerland	see o mis	5,103.81	5,103.81
Tunisia	120	14.11	134.11
United Arab Rep.		ent a fire	MID 0 45M
Viet-Nam	bus o Pro	60 G Fee	*** o * *
	5,380	15,231.44	20,611.44

15. The deficits for the years 1963, 1964 and 1965 amounted to 20,643.55 francs, 22.441.77 francs and 24,471.23 francs respectively. The results of the apportionment of these sums are shown in documents CCIU/II/5, page 4 (1963), CCIU/III/3, page 24 (1964) and CCIU/IV/4, page 25 (1965). The deficits of these four years give the following results:

Country	1963	1964	1965	1966	Total
Belgium	7,369.48	6,547.93	1,386.91	959.61	16,263.93
France	469.53	2,708.24	6,335.99	4,656.93	14,170.69
Germany	486.71	2,278.97	4,795.78	3,499.75	11,061.21
Holy See	more a most	···· c *··	100 g est	No. O. No.	to 0 to
Indonesia	*** 0 ***	6.70 6 444			m e
Liechten- stein	1,995.77	2,098.74	1,247.89	1,099.34	6,441.75
Monaco	297.15	31.41	102.19	37.63	468.38
Morocco	1,045.75	140.55	234.60	204.70	1,625.60
Netherlands	1,306.52	272.22	934.34	399.84	2,912.92
Spain	6,705.82	4,731.56	2,739.87	4,515.72	18,692.97
Switzerland	885.39	3,608.66	6,693.66	5,103.81	16,291.52
Tunisia	0 mer		10.0 0 10.0	134.11	157.60
United Arab Republic	81.43	es a es	AMA & FAIR	** 0 ***	81.43
Viet-Nam	~ o ***		W O. W.	867 O 879	801 g F04

20,643.55 22,441.77 24,471.23 20,611.44 88,167.99

16. It follows, from the foregoing, that States which have not already done so would certainly have every interest in ratifying the Additional Act of Monaco as soon as possible.

TABLES A

ALLOCATION OF THE PART OF THE DEFICIT DUE TO THE NON-ACCESSION OF SOME MEMBER STATES TO THE ADDITIONAL ACT OF MONACO

TABLE A 1

Additional fees which would have been payable for deposits originating in States not yet having ratified the Additional Act of Monaco

Deposits in 1966					
	Unpaid Unpaid To				als
deposits	addit. fees	Multiple deposits	addit.	Deposits	Unpaid fees
Aim	*** 6 ***		FV2 0 100	See a	*** 0 6**
Ref *	*** 0 **				
7	140	15	750	22	890
e con					
33					1,810
1	20	2			120
•	and 0 ***	•••	tine o ene		
For	kind g bes	No.	DOM . CO.	r-w	679 g Ann
41	820	40	2,000	81	2,820
	7	Single addit. fees 7 140	Single addit. Multiple deposits 7 140. 15	Single deposits fees Multiple deposits fees 7 140 15 750 33 660 23 1,150 1 20 2 100	Single deposits Unpaid addit. fees Multiple deposits Unpaid addit. fees Tot Deposits 7 140 15 750 22 33 660 23 1,150 56 1 20 2 100 3

TABLE A 2

Additional fees which would have been payable for prolongations originating in countries not yet having ratified the Additional Act of Monaco

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY							
		Prolongations in 1966					
	Unpaid Unpai			Unpaid	Tot	tals	
	Single deposits	addit. fees	Multiple deposits	addit. fees	Deposits	Unpaid fees	
Holy See			-		1.00	en e =)	
						•	
Indonesia	**	*** • ***	***	man g ***	479		
Liechtenstein (before July 9, 1966)	1	40.	ense	Allah O si P.	1	40	
Morocco	#/.(#	prot 0 000	1	200.	1	200,	
Spain	18	720	8	1,600	26	2,320	
Tunisia	**	ents o this		0	ena.	• • •	
United Arab Republic	•	E-1 0 E-0		Print 0 * 1	40.0	tent & run	
Viet-Nam	P.(1)	** e ***	****	ere 0 *	**	have a sea	
	19	760	9	1,800.	28	2,560	
	.=======			+			

CCIU/V/4 page 32

TABLE A 3

Totals of Tables A 1 and A 2

Recapitulation

Tables

States	Al	<u>A 2</u>	TOTAL
Holy See		nas p vin	*** 6 ***
Indonesia		e +-	*** 0 **
Liechtenstein (before July 9, 1966)	890.	40,	930
Morocco	*** O *** 1	200.	200
Spain	1,810.	2,320.	4,130
Tunisia	120.	• • • •	120
United Arab Republic	· · ·	• • •	
Viet Nam	· · · ·	ess a sea	
	of the Miller (March Steps, Appellung Constituting Constituting Constituting Constituting Constituting Constituting Constitution Consti		
	2,820	2,560.	5,380

TABLE B

ALLOCATION OF THE BALANCE OF THE DEFICIT IN PROPORTION TO THE DEPOSITS AND PROLONGATIONS ORIGINATING IN STATES PARTIES TO THE HAGUE AGREEMENT

I.	Deficit	in 1966	20,611.44 francs
	Deficit	payable according to Table A 3	5,380. francs
	Balance	of the deficit to be allocated	15,231.44 francs

- As the number of deposits and prolongations amounted to 3,238, II. the deficit payable for each registration amounts to 4.703 97 francs (15,231.44 divided by 3238).
- III. By multiplying the number of registrations by the coefficient of 4.703 97, the following results are obtained:

States	Registrations recorded	Swiss francs
Belgium	204	959.61
France	990	4,656,93
Germany	744	3,499.75
Holy See	M ⁻¹	mrs 0 * a
Indonesia	**	640 g 163
Liechtenstein	36	169.34
Monaco	8	37.63
Morocco	1	4.70
Netherlands	85	399.84
Spain	82	385.72
Switzerland	1,085	5,103.81
Tunisia	3	14.11
United Arab Rep	0 ***	
Viet-Nam	ng di	••••
	m / 7	75 227 111

Total: 3,238

15,231.44

SUMS POSTED TO PROFIT AND LOSS

17. After careful investigation, the Director of BIRPI authorized the posting to profit and loss of the amount of 52.75 francs, representing an unpaid invoice for the sale of a BIRPI publication, in view of the fact that a number of reminders had remained unanswered and the smallness of the sum involved would not have justified legal proceedings

VIEWS OF THE COMMITTEE

18. The Interunion Coordination Committee is invited to express its views on the questions dealt with in this document.