

## **Department of Policy and Business Practices**

## WIPO/AIPPI CONFERENCE ON CLIENT PRIVILEGE IN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADVICE – Geneva 22-23 May 2008

## Summary of ICC proposal for a Treaty (to be followed by a formal ICC position paper)

(i)	A WIPO Treaty should require each State to specify categories of adviser whose
clients benefit j	from privilege before the State's Courts, intellectual property offices, tribunals, and
investigators.	These should be all such local general lawyers and local specialist IP advisers as the
State considers	to be adequately regulated, plus (in the case of EPC members) locally-resident EPAs
(both private p	ractice and in-house).

(ii)	Within each	State, the follow	wing commi	ınications f	from or to the	e specified	categories o	γf
adviser should	be privileged	(together with	documents,	material, a	and informati	on prepare	atory to or	
otherwise relat	ed to such cor	mmunications):						

'Communications as to any matter relating to any invention, design, technical information, trade secret, trade mark, geographical indication, domain name, literary or artistic work, performance, software, plant variety, database, or semiconductor topography, or relating to passing off or unfair competition.'

(iii) Each State's Courts, intellectual property offices, tribunals, and investigators should respect the privilege of communications as defined in (ii) (plus preparatory/related documents, material, and information) from or to advisers specified under (i) by other States (both private practice and in-house), and in any case from or to EPAs resident in EPC States (both private practice and in-house).