

PATENT EXAMINATION QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN MYIPO

FOR REGIONAL WORKSHOP ON PATENT EXAMINATION QUALITY MANAGEMENT 27-29 JUNE 2018 TOKYO, JAPAN

OUTLINE OF PRESENTATION

- 1. QUALITY MANAGEMENT (QM)ON S&E PROCESS
- 2. TRAINED/ SKILLED OFFICERS IN QM
- 3. QM MANUAL

PATENT ENGINEERING DIVISION

Automotive
Electrical (Power)
Electronics
Component and Network
Mechanical & Mechatronics
Civil & Environmental Technology
Management & Information System
Telecommunications

PATENT SCIENCE AND TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE DIVISION

Pharmaceutical

Inorganic & Electrochemistry
Polymer

Organic Chemistry & Materials
Petrochemistry & Oleochemistry
Phyto-chemistry & TK
Biotechnology & Microorganism

- Standardization of Standard Clause / Report Format
- 2. Patent And Non-patent Databases
- 3. PQA Committe
- 4. Quality Checking by HOUs
- 5. Standard Training Module
- 6. Allocation based on Technology Fields
- 7. RPET Mentoring

1. Standardization of Standard Clause / Report Format

Maintained the report writing through standardization of standard clause and standard format of examination reports

2. Patent And Non-patent Databases

Maintained the quality of the prior art searching by using EPOQUENET, STN, IEEE, MyTKDL

3. PQA Committee

- Established a Patent Quality Assurance Committee consist of HODs and HOUs
- 2. Regular meeting to discuss about patent examination issues

4. Quality Checking by HOUs

- 1. To ensure the quality of the examination is always maintain and follow the SOP
- 2. Conducted by senior examiners/head of unit
- 3. Using PQS and PQC (inspired by RPET)



PATENT QUALITY STANDARDS (PQS)

SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS i. PQS that relate to matters which adversely affect (or have potential to affect) the validity of Patent Rights. ii. PQS that relate to matters which would require a consideration amount of rework and/or inconvenience to the applicant or MyIPQ.			B. FORMATTING MATTERS: PQS that relate to other important procedures required by the Patent Guidelines and Manual.	
PQS 1 - A correct search procedure is adopted for all searches.	PQS 2 - All relevant objections/ considerations which would adversely affect (or have potential to affect) the validity of the patent are taken.	PQS 3 - All substantive reports are comprehensive and informative.	PQS 4 - Search report are correctly communicated.	PQS 5 - Written formalities ar complete and correct
1.1 Elective use is made of available search report. (Enhanced File) 1.1.1 Effective use is made of search and	2.1 Considerations concerned with the validity of the patent. 2.1.1 Meaning of inventions. (Section 12)	Reports include sufficient detail. 3.1.1 All claims should be examined.	4.1 Citations. 4.1.1 Citations are correctly identified.	5.1 Report are presented professionally. 5.1.1 Reports are free from
examination reports on related family members from IP Offices.	2.1.2 Non-patentable inventions. (Section 13)	3.1.2 Relevant passages of citations are appropriately lined.	4.2 Search Report.	frequent serious spelling, typographical or grammatical
1.1.2 Given the availability of these search report further searches are conducted by the examiner if the original search is clearly inadequate.	2.1.3 Novelty. (Section 14) 2.1.4 Inventive Step. (Section 15) 2.1.5 Industrial Applicability. (Section 16)	3.1.3 Interpretation of claims and prior art provided where necessary. 3.1.4 Objections/ considerations apply	4.2.1 Cited documents are categorised correctly. 4.2.2 Relevant passages and/or figures are	mistakes. 5.1.2 Format and details of the report are correct.
1.2 Original search strategy is appropriate	2.1.6 Description: Disclosure sufficiently clear and complete (Reg. 12(1)(c)).	are reasonable and sufficiently explained.	appropriately listed for each X or Y document.	5.2 Forms and templates filled out correctly.
Field and scope of the search strategy appropriate: dependent claims searched and inventive step searching carried out. Search terms and operators are appropriate, no significant syntax	2.1.7 Claims. (Clarity) (Reg. 13(1)) 2.1.7.1 Claims are clear and concise. 2.1.7.2 Claims are fully supported by the description. 2.1.7.3 Claims are fully supported as they	3.1.5 The listing of a cited document contains correct details for an applicant to reasonably identify the intended document. 3.1.6 The contents of the report are consistent.	Claims to which each cited document applies are accurately lined. PC symbols are selected appropriately.	5.2.1 Search Information Sheet is filled out correctly. (Optional)
errors. 1.2.3 Relevant databases consulted.	do not extend beyond disclosure. 2.2 Amendments.	3.1.7 Reference to the relevant passages of examination reports		
1.2.4 A three person search team was used where specified. (Optional)	2.2.1 Amendments do not go beyond the disclosure as filed. (Section 26A)	of prescribed countries is acceptable for taking objections and meet the requirement of the Act and Regulation. (Enhanced		
1.2.5 SIS correctly records the search	2.3 Other important objections/	File)		

PATENT QUALITY CHECKLIST (PQC) FOR EXAMINATION REPORT

APPLICATION NO.	:	
EXAMINER	:	
TYPE OF REPORT	:	
DATE OF PQC	:	
HEAD OF UNIT (HOU)	:	

+

<u>+</u>				
	Product Quality Standard		Conforms	Comments
		A CORRECT SEARCH PROCEDURE IS ADOPTED FOR ALL SEARCHES (PQS 1.1, 1.2)		MUST PASS – 5%
		ALL RELEVANT OBJECTIONS/CONSIDERATIONS WHICH		
		WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT (OR HAVE THE POTENTIAL		
		TO AFFECT) THE VALIDITY OF THE PATENT ARE TAKEN)		
		(PQS 2.1)		
		MEANING OF INVENTIONS (PQS 2.1.1)		MUST PASS – 5%
		NON-PATENTABLE INVENTIONS (PQS 2.1.2)		MUST PASS – 5%
		NOVELTY (PQS 2.1.3)		MUST PASS – 5%
		INVENTIVE STEP (PQS 2.1.4)		MUST PASS – 5%
		INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (PQS 2.1.5)		MUST PASS – 5%
	SS.	DESCRIPTION: DISCLOSURE SUFFICIENTLY CLEAR		MUST PASS – 5%
	Ë I	AND COMPLETE (PQS 2.1.6)		
A. SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS	E MA	• CLAIMS (CLARITY) (PQS 2.1.7; 2.1.7.1 – 2.1.7.3)		MUST PASS – 5% TOTAL 40% (MUST PASS ALL)
	ANTIV	All other <u>important objections</u> / considerations are taken (PQS 2.3)		Satisfied/ not satisfied
	SST	Free of invalid objections/considerations (PQS 2.4		Satisfied/ not satisfied
	SU	All claims should be examined (PQS 3.1.1)		Satisfied/ not satisfied
	Ą.	Relevant passage of citations <u>are</u> appropriately listed (PQS 3.1.2)		Satisfied/ not satisfied
		Interpretation of claims and prior art provided where necessary (PQS 3.1.3)		Satisfied/ not satisfied
		Sufficient and logical explanation is present to justify the conclusions reached (PQS 3.1.4)		Satisfied/ not satisfied
		The listing of a cited document contains sufficient correct		Satisfied/ not satisfied
		details for an applicant to reasonably identify the		
		identified document (PQS 3.1.5)		
		Reference to the relevant passages of examination		Satisfied/ not satisfied
		reports of prescribed countries is acceptable for taking		

5. Standard Training Module

- Standard training module for new examiners based on Regional Patent Examination Training (RPET) program
- Conduct a prior art search training using Epoquenet
- 3. Consistent in decision making

6. Allocation based on Technology Fields

- Files allocated to the examiner is based on the technology fields / IPC
- 2. Examiner could focus more

7. RPET Mentoring

- 1. A project under AANZFTA
- Focusing on best practices in the development of competency based training programs

TRAINED/ SKILLED OFFICERS IN QM

- 1. Head Of Division Quality Monitoring
- Head of Unit Quality Checking
 (both are over 13 years experience in patent search and examination)
- 3. Legal Officer Advise and give a legal opinion

QM MANUAL

PATENT EXAMINATION REPORT STANDARD - Manual for Patent Examiners



PATENT EXAMINATION REPORT STANDARD

Manual for Patent Examiners

Revised on February 2017



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

RAZHUAN BIN HUSSIN MOHD FADZIL BIN AHMAD





