From: \*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\* <MKryukova.ag@rupto.ru>

**To:** <scit.mail@wipo.int> **Date:** Fri, Oct 29, 1999 4:38 PM

Subject: C.SCIT 2460

Dear Mr. Wittig,

Referring to the circular C.SCIT 2460 of August 19, 1999 please find the Questionnaire related to task N39\* filled by the Russian Agency for Patents and Trademarks.

According to WIPO Standard ST.50, par.10, additional correction information is to be provided where necessary for unambiguous identification of category and nature of correction. Though the supplementary correction codes are essential for full identification of document it seems to us that for the Standards concerning necessary minimum requirements for unique identification of the document (e.g. ST.6, ST.10/B, ST.12/C, ST.16) the kind of document code with digital elements 8 or 9 associated with document number and the country code would be sufficient.

QUESTIONNAIRE RELATED TO TASK No. 39

The purpose of this Questionnaire is to gather information on the views of industrial property offices as to whether and how certain WIPO Standards should be revised in order to determine the unique identification of any patent document.

Reporting Office: The Russian Agency for Patents and Trademarks or Organization:

Name of person to be contacted (in case of need): Marina V.KRYUKOVA

E-mail address: MKryukova.ag.@ rupto.ru

Fax: (095) 240 2571, (095) 243 3337

Telephone: (095) 240 3417\*

1. Does your Office see a need for revision of certain WIPO Standards in connection with the application of supplementary correction codes?

Yes X No

2. (a) Which WIPO Standards, among those listed in Task No. 39, are considered by your Office as needing revision in order to clarify how a patent document should be uniquely identified?

ST.11, ST.19, ST.30, ST.32, ST.35, ST.40

(b) Should any other WIPO Standard be added to those listed in your answer to question 2(a)? If so, please list them.

NO

3. For each of the WIPO Standards given in your answers to questions 2(a) and 2(b), please list the specific part(s) which may require revision and/or further provisions with respect to this matter.

See Comments below

4. If your Office has any proposals concerning revision of, and/or further provisions to be inserted in, any of the items listed in your answer to question 3, please indicate.

See Comments below

## **COMMENTS**

- 1. Reference to WIPO Standard ST.50 under the heading "References" should be included into each Standard to be revised.
- 2. ST.11, par.6: To change the wording of the paragraph as follows: "..., i.e. kind of document code associated where necessary with supplementary correction code, document number, date of application (publication),..."
- 3. ST.19, Use of codes and WIPO Recommendations: To add a new paragraph after par.(17) worded as follows: "For unique identification of corrected documents it is recommended to use the supplementary correction codes according to WIPO Standard ST.50."
- 4. ST.30, ST.32, ST.35, ST.40: To add where appropriate tags for bibliographic data elements concerning corrections, i.e. INID codes (15), (48) with definitions according to WIPO Standard ST.9.

Sincerely yours

A. Gvinepadze

First Deputy Director
Federal Institute of Industrial Property
Rospatent